International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com Volume – 7, Issue – 2, April – 2022 , Page No. : 428 - 434

Knowledge and expressed practices regarding the ill effects of betel nut consumption among the patients and attendants attending NEIGRIHMS hospital, Shillong, Meghalaya

<sup>1</sup>Ms. Erbatemon Pyngrope, Department of Midwifery and Obstetrical Nursing, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya, India.

<sup>2</sup>Mrs. Alila Jamir, Department of Medical- Surgical Nursing, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya, India.

<sup>3</sup>Mr.Badal Prasad, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Boby Begum, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Naphisabet Rimai, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Pinky Kumari Gupta, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Myanbeni Kikon, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Mahdiya Yeasmin, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

<sup>3</sup>Ms. Yanung Norah, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

Corresponding Author: Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, College of Nursing, NEIGRIHMS, Shillong, Meghalaya.

**Citation this Article:** Ms. Erbatemon Pyngrope, Mrs. Alila Jamir, Mr. Badal Prasad, Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, Ms. Boby Begum, Ms. Naphisabet Rimai, Ms. Pinky Kumari Gupta, Ms. Myanbeni Kikon, Ms. Mahdiya Yeasmin, Ms. Yanung Norah, "Knowledge and expressed practices regarding the ill effects of betel nut consumption among the patients and attendants attending NEIGRIHMS hospital, Shillong, Meghalaya", IJMSIR- April - 2022, Vol – 7, Issue - 2, P. No. 428 – 434.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Betel nut is the 4<sup>th</sup> most commonly used addictive substance. Around 600 million people consume betel nut globally. In the United States, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have issued alert on health risks associated with betel nut chewing.

**Methodology:** Data collection method is selfadministered knowledge and expressed practices-based questionnaire. Analysis method is descriptive and Inferential Statistics. **Result:** Majority of the participants have poor knowledge on betel nut consumption (58. 97%). There is a significant association between knowledge with age, gender, education and area of residence. Majority of participants started chewing betel nut at the age of 10-20 years (53.30%), consumes for more than 12 years (61.60%), 2 - 3 times in a day (36.90%), keeps in mouth for more than 5 minutes (34.40%), swallows it (51.30%), takes it after meal (58.50%), brush their teeth twice a day (56.93%), consumes for time pass (44.23%) along with betel leaf and lime (59.57%), don't practice

Corresponding Author: Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, ijmsir, Volume – 7 Issue - 2, Page No. 428 - 434

anything to prevent oral lesions (33.82%), avoid spicy food after consumption (32.83%) and practice brushing and gargling to maintain oral hygiene (54.87%).

**Conclusion:** Creating awareness and enhancing knowledge regarding the harmful effects of betel nut consumption among the population is significant for the welfare of the society.

**Keywords:** Knowledge, expressed practice, ill effect and betel nut.

## Introduction

Betel nut is the fourth most commonly used addictive substance in the world. It is widely used throughout central, South and South East Asia, as well as in some Pacific island<sup>[1]</sup>.Around 600 million people consume betel nut globally <sup>[2]</sup>.Papua New Guinea (PNG) has the highest incidence rate of oral cancer in the world with 32.3 per 100,000 people suffering from oral cancer (WHO 2008 Statistics)<sup>[3]</sup>.In some parts of India almost one out of three teenagers regularly or occasionally chew these products (International Agency for Research on Cancer IARC)<sup>[4]</sup>.

Areca nut seed contain bioactive components like alkaloids and tannins. It is also known as salivary stimulating digestive agent and act as antimicrobial effect against oral bacteria<sup>[5]</sup>. Arecoline the major alkaloid of areca nut, has been extensively studied and several effects of betel chewing are thought to be related to the action of this parasympathetic constituent. In autonomic function tests, both sympathetic response and RR interval (the time elapsed between two successive R-waves of the QRS signal on the electrocardiogram) variation are affected. Betel nut chewing also increase plasma concentration of norepinephrine and epinephrine<sup>[6,7]</sup>. In May 1999, the World Health Assembly which is governing body and WHO passed a legislative called Framework Convention and Tobacco Control (FCTC) that could address across country issues like advertising and promotion, agricultural diversification smuggling, taxes and subsides<sup>[8]</sup>. Betel quid has been classified as a group1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer<sup>[9]</sup>. According to International Agency for Research on Cancer(IARC), betel nut can cause oral cancer, metabolic syndrome, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and obesity and disease that are closely related to the development of cardiovascular disease<sup>[1]</sup>. Betel nut can cause oral lesion associated to oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF)<sup>[2]</sup>. Among the head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients of the North Eastern region, 83.7% are betel nut chewers <sup>[10]</sup>.

#### Need of the study

Chewing of betel nut has a long history reaching back 200 years and some culture claim to have found benefits associated with it. However modern research shows many health risks associated with the practice. Regular chewing of the betel nut has been linked to cancer of the mouth and esophagus, oral submucous fibrosis and tooth decay.

The WHO has classified betel nut as a carcinogen and initiated an action plan to reduce its use. In the United States, both the Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) and the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have issued alert on health risks associated with betel nut. Reducing risk factors such as those presented by betel nut chewing is important for public health around the globe.

However, this study is planned to be conducted on adults  $\mathbf{x}$  considering them to be more prone to get addicted to it

and knowledgeable too about the habits of chewing betel nut.

Betel nut consumption can cause many health related issues therefore spreading awareness among adults will be beneficial as they are the main stem of the family.

## **Objectives**

The objectives of the study were

- To assess the knowledge regarding the ill effects of betel nut consumption among the patients and attendants attending NEIGRIHMS hospital, Shillong, Meghalaya.
- To assess the expressed practices towards betel nut consumption adopted by the patients and attendants attending NEIGRIHMS Hospital Shillong, Meghalaya.
- To determine the association between knowledge with selected demographic variables.

## Hypothesis

• There is a significant association between knowledge with socio demographic variables.

## Methodology

**Research approach:** Quantitative research approach was used.

**Research design**: Cross sectional study design was adopted.

## **Research variable:**

- Independent variables: Ill effects of betel nut consumption.
- Dependent variables: Knowledge and expressed practices of patients and attendants attending NEIGRIHMS hospital.
- Baseline variables: Age, gender, residence, education, occupation, community and religion.

**Setting:** The study was conducted in NEIGRIHMS Hospital, Shillong.

**Population:** The patients and attendants above 18 years of age attending NEIGRIHMS Hospital, Shillong, Meghalaya.

Sample: The sample size is 195.

**Inclusion criteria:** Patients and attendants attending all out patient departments (OPDs) and patients and attendants who consume betel nut.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients and attendants who do not give consent to participate in the study, pediatric patients and who are not able to read and write.

**Sampling technique:** Non- probability Convenience sampling technique.

**Tools for data collection:** The data collection tools included the following sections:

Section 1: It consists of socio demographic variables like age, gender, educational qualification, occupation, religion, community and residence of the participants.

Section 2: It consists of 15 structured based questionnaire containing the following :

- General information on betel nut
- Ill effects of betel nut consumption
- Symptoms due to betel nut consumption.

Section 3: It consists of 12 structured based questionnaire to assess the expressed practices adopted towards betel nut consumption.

Scoring interpretation: As the calculated mean value for level of knowledge is 8, therefore,

- Good knowledge: 9-15
- Poor knowledge: 0-8

As the calculated mean value of the three domains are

- General information: 3
- Ill effects: 3
- Symptoms: 2

So The participants who score less than mean value is categorized as poor knowledge and above mean value is categorized as good knowledge. working (63.08%\_), most of them were Christian (66.67) and belongs to Khasi community (65.64%).

## Results

| Table 1: D        | emographic varial    | oles of the | participants |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| N=195             |                      |             |              |
| Demographic       | c Variables          | Frequency   | Percentage   |
| Age<br>(in years) | 18-30                | 62          | 31.79%       |
|                   | 31-40                | 53          | 27.18%       |
|                   | >41                  | 80          | 41.03%       |
| Gender            | Male                 | 101         | 51.79%       |
|                   | Female               | 94          | 48.21%       |
|                   | Primary              | 37          | 18.97%       |
| Education         | Secondary            | 129         | 66.15%       |
|                   | Graduation and above | 29          | 14.88%       |
| Residence         | Rural                | 114         | 58.46%       |
|                   | Urban                | 81          | 41.54%       |
| Occupation        | Working              | 123         | 63.08%       |
|                   | Non-working          | 72          | 36.92%       |
| Religion          | Christian            | 130         | 66.67%       |
|                   | Hindu                | 34          | 17.44%       |
|                   | Others               | 31          | 15.89%       |
| Community         | Khasi                | 128         | 65.64%       |
|                   | Non- khasi           | 67          | 34.36%       |

Data presented in table 1 shows that the majority of the participants belongs to the age group of 41 years – above (41.02%), were male (51.79%) and educated up to secondary level (68.72%). The majority of the participants were from rural area (58.46%), they are

Fig 1: Bar diagram showing level of knowledge of the participants



Data presented in figure 1 shows the majority of the participants have poor knowledge (58.97%) and 41.03% have good knowledge as the calculated mean value of the level of knowledge of the participants is 8.

| Table2: Domai | n wise | classification | n of tl | he participants |
|---------------|--------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
| N=195         |        |                |         |                 |
| Domain        | Good   |                | Poor    |                 |
|               | F      | %              | F       | %               |
| General       | 130    | 66.67%         | 65      | 33.33%          |
| Knowledge     |        |                |         |                 |
| Ill Effects   | 109    | 55.89%         | 86      | 44.11%          |
| Symptoms      | 114    | 58.46%         | 81      | 41.54%          |

Data presented in table 2 shows that the majority of the participants have poor knowledge on general information (66.67%) than symptoms (58.46%) and ill effects (55.89%) regarding betel nut consumption.

# Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)



Data presented in figure 2 shows that majority of the participants started chewing betel nut belongs to the age group 10-20 years (53.30%). Most of them have been consuming betel nut for more than 12 years (61.60%). Majority chews betel nut 2-3times in a day (36.90%) and keeps betel nut in mouth for more than 5 minutes (34.40%). Most of the participants swallows the betel nut (51.30%), takes betel nut after meal (58.50%) and brush their teeth twice a day (56.93%). Majority of the participants consume betel nut for time pass (44.23%), consumes betel nut with betel leaf and lime (59.57%), do not practice anything to prevent oral lesions (33.82%), avoid spicy food after betel nut consumption (32.83%) and practice brushing and gargling to maintain oral hygiene (54.87%).

Table 3: Association of knowledge with selected demographic variables regarding betel nut consumption, n=195

| Selected  | demographic | Cood | Good Poor | $\chi^2$ | Table |
|-----------|-------------|------|-----------|----------|-------|
| variables |             | Good |           | value    | value |
| Age       | 18-30       | 34   | 28        |          |       |
|           | 31-40       | 17   | 36        | *7.35    | 5.99  |
|           | >41         | 29   | 51        |          |       |
| Gender    | Male        | 51   | 50        | *7.72    | 3.84  |
|           | Female      | 29   | 65        |          |       |
| Education | Primary     | 11   | 26        |          |       |

|            | Secondary               | 50 | 79 | *9.68 | 5.99 |
|------------|-------------------------|----|----|-------|------|
|            | Graduation<br>and above | 19 | 10 |       |      |
| Occupation | Working                 | 52 | 71 | 0.213 | 3.84 |
|            | non-working             | 28 | 44 |       |      |
| Religion   | Hindu                   | 12 | 22 |       |      |
|            | Christian               | 51 | 79 | 3.074 | 5.99 |
|            | Others                  | 17 | 14 |       |      |
| Community  | Khasi                   | 55 | 73 | 0.579 | 3.84 |
|            | Non-Khasi               | 25 | 42 |       |      |
| Residence  | Rural                   | 39 | 75 | *5.26 | 3.84 |
|            | Urban                   | 41 | 40 |       |      |

### \*Significance p value= 0.05

Data presented in table 4 shows that there is a significant association between knowledge with age, gender, education and area of residence.

## Conclusion

Our study shows that majority of the population lack knowledge regarding ill effects of betel nut consumption and does not practice good oral hygiene. So, we suggest that creating awareness and improving knowledge through health education and health camps can alleviate betel nut consumption among the population and is significant for the welfare of the society. To protect the public from the harms of betel nut; legislation is required to regulate and control the usage of betel nut within the country.

## References

1. Wu F, Parvez F, Islam T, Ahmed A, Rakibuz-Zaman M, Hasan R, et al. Betel quid use and mortality in Bangladesh: a cohort study. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2015;93: 684-92.

2. Arora S and Squier C. Areca nut trade, globalisation and its health impact: Perspectives from India and Southeast Asia. Perspectives in public health. 2019 Jan;139

## Ms. Basuklang Nongrang, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

(1): 44-8. [Cited 2019 Nov 03].

3. Chen G, Hsieh MY, Chen AW, Kao NH and Chen MK. The effectiveness of school educating program for betel quid chewing: A pilot study in Papua New Guinea. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association. 2018 Apr 1;81(4):352-7.

4. Venkatesh D, Puranik RS, Vanaki SS and Puranik SR. Study of salivary arecoline in areca nut chewers. Journal of oral and maxillofacial pathology: JOMFP. 2018 Sep;22(3):446

6. Hossain MF, Anwar M, Akhtar S and Numan SM. Adverse effects on healthposed by consumption of Areca nut (Areca catechu L., family: Palmaceae). Int J Community Med Public Health. 2015 Nov;2(4):357-60.

7. Anand R, Dhingra C, Prasad S and Menon I. Betel nut chewing and its deleterious effects on oral cavity. Journal of cancer research and therapeutics. 2014 Jul 1;10(3):499.

8. Chu NS. Effects of betel chewing on the central and autonomic nervous systems. Journal of biomedical science. 2001;8 (3): 229-36.

9. Goyal G and Bhagwati BT. Knowledge, attitude and practice of chewing Gutka, Areca nut, Snuff and tobacco smoking among the young population in the Northern India population. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP. 2016;17(11):4813.[Cited 2019 Nov 09].

10. Hussain A, Zaheer S and Shafique K. Reasons for betel quid chewing amongst dependent and non-dependent betel quid chewing adolescents: a school-based cross-sectional survey. Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy. 2018 Dec; 13(1):1-8.

11. Sharan RN, Mehrotra R, Choudhury Y and Asotra K. Association of betelnut with carcinogenesis: revisit with a clinical perspective.

12. Sharma SK. Nursing Research and Statistics. 2. RELX India Private Limited: Elsevier; 2017.101-9,206-240,256,299-315,382-6,409-11.

13. Khan MS, Bawany FI, Shah SR, Hussain M, Arshad MH and Nisar N. Comparison of knowledge, attitude and practices of betelnut users in two socio-economic areas of Karachi. JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2013 Oct 1;63(10):1319-25.[Cited 2019 Nov 25].

14. Sharma JD, Baishya N, Kataki AC, Kalita CR, Das AK and Rahman T. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in young adults: A hospital-based study. Indian Journal of Medical and Paediatric Oncology. 2019 Jul;40(S 01):S18-22.

15. Tsai WC, Wu MT, Wang GJ, Lee KT, Lee CH, Lu YH, et al. Chewing areca nut increases the risk of coronary artery disease in Taiwanese men: acase-control study. BMC Public Health. 2012 Dec;12(1):1-7.

16. Lan TY, Chang WC, Tsai YJ, Chuang YL, Lin HS and Tai TY. Areca nut chewing and mortality in an elderly cohort study. American journal of epidemiology. 2007 Mar 15;165(6):677-83.

17. Win stock AR, Trivedy CR, Warnakulasuriya KA and Peters TJ. A dependency syndrome related to areca nut use: some medical and psychological aspects among areca nut users in the Gujarat community in the UK. Addiction Biology. 2000 Apr;5(2):173-9.

Reichart PA, Schmidt Berg W and Scheifele CH.
Betel chewer's mucosa in elderly Cambodian women.
Journal of oral pathology & medicine. 1996
Aug;25(7):367-70.

19. Yash Asvini PB and Singla A. Prevalence of Areca Nut Chewing Among Rural Population in India. J Oral Health Dent. 2020;3(1):173-8.

20. Prasad S, Anand R and Dhingra C. Betel nut

chewing behaviour and its association with oral mucosal lesions and conditions in Ghaziabad, India. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2014 Jan 1;12:241-8.

21. Shrihari JS. Patterns of consumption, and levels of addiction among Areca nut chewers in Dakshina Kannada District, Karnataka (Doctoral dissertation, SCTIMST).

22. Mahanta B, Phukan N, Mohapatra PK and Mahanta J. Betel nut chewing habit and its determinants among adolescent boys and girls in an industrial town of Assam, India. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research.

23. Flora MS, Mascie-Taylor CG and Rahmanc M. Betel quid chewing and its risk factors in Bangladeshi adults. WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health.2012;1(2):169-81.

24. Shaikh MS, Lone MA, Khan MS, Iqbal K, Siddiqui SU, Abrar SK, et al. Habits of tooth brushing and Tobacco/Betel nut use among patients attendingdental out patients department in a teaching hospital. Journal of Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences.20 (2),132-137,2021.

25. Orr I. Oral Cancer in Betel Nut Chewers in Travancore. Its Aetiology, Pathology, and Treatment. Lancet. 1933:575-80

26. Sarma SN. A study into the incidence and etiology of cancer of the larynx and adjacent parts in Assam. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 1958;46(3):525-33.

27. Shanta V and Krishnamurthi S. A study of aetiological factors in oral squamous cell carcinoma. British journal of cancer. 1959 Sep;13 (3): 381.

28. Lu CT, Yen YY, Ho CS, Ko YC, Tsai CC, Hsieh CC, et al. A case-control study of oral cancer in Changhua County, Taiwan. J Oral Pathol Med. 1996;25:245–248.[Cited 2020 Aug 15].

29. Chandra A. Different habits and their relation with cancer cheek. Chitharanjan Cancer Hospital Calcutta natl Cancer. Res Cent Bull. 1962:33–36.

30. Wang SC, Tsai CC, Huang ST and Hong YJ. Betel nut chewing and related factors in adolescent students in Taiwan. Public Health. 2003 Sep 1;117(5):339-45.

31. Bhat SJ, Blank MD, Balster RL, Nichter M and Nichter M. Areca nut dependence among chewers in a South Indian community who do not also use tobacco. Addiction. 2010 Jul;105(7):1303-10.

32. Chatterjee N, Gupte HA and Mandal G. A qualitative study of perceptions and practices related to areca nut use among adolescents in Mumbai, India. Nicotine & Tobacco Research. 2021 Apr 8.