

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com

Volume - 7, Issue - 2, March - 2022, Page No.: 91 - 98

Oral contraceptives- A description with insight into Adolescent use

¹Kaur Navneet, Doctor of Pharmacy, 6th year Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University.

²Saini Arti, Doctor of Pharmacy, 6th year Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University.

³Rai Abhilash, Doctor of Pharmacy, 6th year Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University.

Corresponding Author: Kaur Navneet, Doctor of Pharmacy, 6th year Maharishi Markandeshwar College of Pharmacy, Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University.

Citation this Article: Kaur Navneet, Saini Arti, Rai Abhilash, "Oral contraceptives- A description with insight into Adolescent use", IJMSIR- March - 2022, Vol – 7, Issue - 2, P. No. 91 – 98.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Despite the extensive use of oral contraceptive pill (OCP) for the past 50 years, present day analysis by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has write down a threefold difference between developed and developing countries in using pills. The two types of OCP are combination oral contraceptives (COCs) that involves both estrogen and progesterone, and the progestin-only pills (POPs). The combined pills confers advantage that the contraceptive pill can be started at low dose of each hormone. Prevention of conception is attained by inhibiting ovulation, fertilization, and/or implantation of an egg. The ovulation is inhibited through the suppression of gonadotropin release. Follicular maturation is also impaired. Even if ovulation occurs sometimes, the changes that takes place secondary to chronic exposure to the progestin portion of OCs make both implantation and fertilization unlikely. It has also been urging that oral contraceptives can also provide additional benefits on bone mineral density, uterine fibroids, toxic shock syndrome, and colorectal cancer. Most of the patients are heedless of these health benefits and medicinal uses of OCPs, and thus tend to exalt their risk. Combined Oral Contraceptive (COC) pills are the most popular hormonal contraceptives among adolescents, typical use failure rate is 9% and is usually secondary to non-adherence. Long-acting reversible contraception such as intrauterine contraception and implants has much higher contraceptive efficacy, are safe also for adolescents, and should be recommended.

Keywords: COC, POP, OCP, IARC.

Introduction

Control on fertility has, no dubiety, proved as one of the leading-edge discovery. Despite the extensive use of oral contraceptive pill (OCP) for the past 50 years, present day analysis by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has write down a threefold difference between developed and developing countries in using pills [1]. The Envoid was the first hormonal contraceptive pill, which was warranted by the Federal

Drug Administration (FDA) back in May 1960 and constitutes mestranol and norethisterone as active ingredients. Over the past years, oral contraceptives have unfold through progressive decrease in ethinyl estradiol (EE) content, with origination of 17β estradiol, and many different progestin. The standard contraceptive regimen permit for 21 days of pill containing steroids and 7-day pill free period. [2]. The OCP is the most commonly used method of contraception. The two types of contraceptives pills used are either in combination oral contraceptives (COCs) that involves both estrogen and progesterone, and the progestin-only pills (POPs). The combined pills confers advantage that the contraceptive pill can be started at low dose of each hormone [3]. These are safe for most of the patients with failure rate of 7.2 to 9%. A pill containing hormonal estrogen can expand the likelihood of venous thromboembolism, thus patient with the probability of cardiovascular incident should circumvent use COCs [4]. Even though they are effective, initial COC formulations were linked with notable adverse effects and insufferable cardiovascular risk. Refinements in rightness and safety been achieved, without compromising in effectiveness, fundamentally via reductions in hormone dosage and the new progestin's development [5]. The goal of OCs is to subdue ovulation by exploiting episodes all-round the ovulatory cycle to fend off conception. The combined steroids constituents of OCs overpower the mid-cycle surge of luteinizing hormone (LH) and folliclestimulating hormone (FSH). The overall effect is to decidualize the endometrial bed and subdue mitotic activity [6]. OCPs when added give complexity through the initiation of varying concentrations of circulating exogenous estrogen and progesterone, which may moderate physiological adaptations to exercise in a different manner to endogenous ovarian hormones [7].

Current options in oral contraception include the spectrum of products which enable clinicians to choose the most suitable formulation for the individual women [8]. There are over 90 different types of OCs on the market today, with combination OCs (those that contain both estrogen and progesterone components) as the most common formulation [9]. The evolution of both the type and dose of steroids in oral contraceptives has been complex. Amongst steroids the evolution of the progestin constituent remains commanded by a perturb for the metabolic effects of the 19-nortestosterone derivatives, whereas the estrogen component evolution has been more of a concern for safety, or perceived safety [10].

Combined pills are available in formulations asmonophasic, biphasic, or triphasic. Different modes of administration are also available for progestin-only pills. The mechanisms of action of OCPs\s vary in according to the respective type. Pills should be carefully added to the hormonal profile of the individual so that the side effects can be avoided. [11].

It is nearly impossible to collate the non-identical OC formulations since no truly comparative prospective studies have done yet, the number of individuals in existing trials has been small, and the methods of measuring the discrete hormones and overall evaluation criteria have differed [12].

The conventional, mono phasic, combination oral contraceptives (OCs) are by tradition administered daily for 21 days followed by a hormone-free interval of seven days. Misunderstanding and ignorance about continuous use of OCs has created concern because this regimen is new and different. [13]. OCPs are highly efficacious when taken accurately and are rapidly concerted with normal ovulation typically reinstated within 2-3 months after stop consuming the pills. OCPs have a well-

accepted safety profile in healthy, non-smoking, normotensive women [14].

Progestogen-only contraceptives can be used in women for whom CCPs are contraindicated (World Health Organization [WHO] Medical Eligibility Criteria [MEC] for contraceptive use, Category 4) or where the use of COC is not advisable (WHO MEC, Category 3) [15]. Generally the starting dose of estrogen must be 30 to 35 mcg in combined pills, however, if breakthrough bleeding or amenorrhea is experienced higher doses can be given [16].

Mechanism of action of oral contraceptives

Hormonal contraception provides successful, endurable, and changeable prevention of pregnancy. Prevention of conception is attained by inhibiting ovulation, fertilization, and/or implantation of an egg [17]. The combination of steroid hormones in OCPs acts both centrally and peripherally and affects the normal reproductive function. The ovulation is inhibited through the suppression of gonadotropin release. Follicular maturation is also impaired. Even if ovulation occurs sometimes, the changes that take place secondary to chronic exposure to the progestin portion of OCs make both implantation and fertilization unlikely [18]. In the case of CCPs and progestin-only pills, the major mechanisms are inhibition of ovulation and change in the cervical mucus that inhibits the penetration of sperm.

The single hormonal progestin means, particularly the low-dose progestin-only products and emergency contraception pills, that have effects on the endometrium thus, theoretically, could affect the implantation [19]. The major (OCPs) involves the inhibition of luteinizing hormone surge and ovulation, which is mainly caused by estrogen [20].

Guiding pill prescription

Some guiding principles that can be considered when prescribing pill and choosing right formulation for an individual are: [21].

- The lowest possible dose of estrogen and progestogen should be used.
- Good cycle control and efficacious contraception must be achieved.
- Tolerated potential must be high
- Best possible safety profile must be there.
- Is must be affordable.
- Also offers additional non-contraceptive benefits if needed.

Benefits

The health advantages are innumerable and exceed the risks of their use. It has also been urge that oral contraceptives can also provide additional benefits on bone mineral density, uterine fibroids, toxic shock syndrome, and colorectal cancer. Most of the patients are heedless of these health benefits and medicinal uses of OCPs, and thus tend to exalt their risk. [22].

Suspension symptoms

Alterations in hormone levels that occur throughout the menstrual cycle are proven to be related with notable physiological outcomes. In specific points, the let fall in estrogen levels previous to the cyclic period is related with bloating, mood alterations, headache, nausea, and cramps. It is now proven that the consumption of OCPs decline both the incidence and severity of suspension symptoms [22].

Menstrual irregularity

The key considerations are consistency, constancy, heaviness and duration of flow. COCs can be used to bring down menstrual flow, in addition to providing conception, consistencies of the cycle and decreasing of dysmenorrhea [23].

Premenstrual syndrome and premenstrual dysphoric disorder

Premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) comprised of emotional and physical manifestation s and functional defacement which lies on the severe end of the collection of premenstrual symptoms. Serotonin reuptake inhibitors are designed as the first-line treatment consideration with second-line treatment options include oral contraceptives containing drospirenone, other ovulation suppression methods, calcium, and cognitive-behavioral therapy [24].

Acne and hirsutism

Hirsutism, defined as excessive growth of terminal hair in women in a male-like pattern [25]. Acne is the most common and prevalent skin disorder among young girls. As there is no uniform approach for the management of acne is present, combined oral contraceptives (COCs), containing both estrogen and a progestin, are routinely prescribed for women [26].

Polycystic ovary syndrome

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), also known as the Stein-Leventhal syndrome, is currently the leading cause of menstrual complications in women. Therapeutic options for women with the problem of infertility in PCOS are gonadotropins, used to induce ovulation [27]. Long-term benefits include reduced rates of endometrial, ovarian, and colorectal cancer [28].

Adverse effects with oral contraceptives

Headache

Combined oral contraceptives increase the risk of stroke in women who have migraines with aura, and should not be used in these patients. A systematic review found that 10 percent of women have new-onset headache with the use of combined oral contraceptives. Headaches are more common during the first cycle of combined oral contraceptives and in women who are older than 35 years [29].

• Breakthrough bleeding

physiologic effects of OCs on the endometrium, OC-related parameters, including dose, formulation, and regimen, patient behavior, including compliance, using concomitant medications, and smoking, and benign or malignancy pathology [30].

• Venous Thromboembolism

From their incorporation in the 1960s, an increased threat or possibility of VTE has also been accompanying with COCs: a 3-fold to 6-fold risk of venous thrombosis is disclosed in epidemiological studies. The possibility of VTE hinge on many conditions: the full length of COC use, body mass index (BMI), the category of pill, the age of individuals, the existence of either inherited or acquired thrombophilia, or subjection to the additional risk factor [31].

• Thrombotic events and stroke

The utter risks of thrombotic stroke and myocardial infarction associated with the use of hormonal contraception were low, the risk was related by a factor of 0.9 to 1.7 with OCPs that incorporate ethinyl estradiol at a dose of 20 μ g and with ethinyl estradiol at a dose of 30 to 40 μ g by factor of 1.3 to 2.3, with comparably less differences in risk according to type of progestin [32].

• Cancer

The association between OCPs usage and breast cancer risk has been highly studied, even now the make-up and patterns of OCPs use have advanced considerably over time and more up to minute formulations have received relatively little investigations [33]. For breast cancer, these risks may be even higher for women at increased risk in relation to family history of cancer or genetic mutation carrier status (e.g., BRCA1/2); however, outcomes from studies are undetermined [34].

Use of oral contraceptives among the youth

Adolescents, defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as individuals between the ages of 10-19 years, represent almost one-fifth of the world's population. Contraception is an highly important pillar for the avoidance of unwanted pregnancy in adolescents. Combined Oral Contraceptive (COC) pills are the most popular hormonal contraceptives among adolescents, typical use failure rate is 9% and is usually secondary to non-adherence [35]. Oral contraceptives are the most boorish method of contraception pre-owned by adolescents, 44% of sexually active young women aged between 15 and 19 years wield them. The typical failure rate for the pill in adults is about 5%; in adolescents it is 10% to 15% at 1 year of use 26, 27 or higher, mainly due to inconsistent use. About fifty percent of all teenagers who start taking oral contraceptives stop within 1 year [36]. Counselling is the central part of providing contraception for adolescents, Long-acting reversible contraception such as intrauterine contraception and implants have much higher contraceptive efficacy, are safe also for adolescents, and should be recommended [37]. With the widespread use however, some cases of depression has come into light, a large cohort study conducted in Danish adolescents and young women demonstrated that the use of oral contraceptives was positively associated with diagnosis of depression. The same group also reported that the use of hormonal contraception was positively associated with suicide attempt and suicide, which was particularly observed in adolescent women who experienced the highest relative risk [38].

The relationship between contraceptive agents and mood is unclear, however, a safeguarding effect of the integrate pill, and the inimical effect of progestin only agents in consideration to mood disorders [39].

Current scenario

Considering population of countries like India with over 1.25 billion is set to take out China. This population statistics is more than the combined population of USA, Brazil, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Indonesia and Japan. Evidence shows that diversification of the contraceptive method in low and middle remuneration countries has a confident relationship with contraceptive use [40]. Only Africa is expected prompt to experience prompt extension in growth from 2020 to 2030, Asia is contemplate to escapade slow moving growth, the count of modern method users can expect to reach 27.1 million in 2030, and the small measure of adolescent women the need for modern contraception then be met can rise to 79% [41].

From the establishment, the number of epidemiologic studies, oral contraceptives deliver the round about 90% curtailment in risk of ectopic conception. The most expected mechanism considered is by the clampdown of ovulation, an effect that undeniably prevents every types of pregnancy and that too should be presented with low-dose oral contraceptives as well [42]. Oral contraceptives are also effective in decreasing the menstrual bleed flow in very short time span and may also ward off the building up of of uterine fibroids. With the natural hormone progesterone extend epidermal growth factor, that stimulates fibroid growth, it also inhibits insulin-like growth factor-1, that be permitted to inhibit growth. Progestogens also cause down-regulation of both the estrogen and progesterone receptors in fibroids [43]

Management of common adverse effects with combined contraceptive pill

Condition	Management	strategies	based	on
	practice			
Nausea	Reduce estrogen dose			

T		
	Exclude pregnancy	
Breast	Reduce estrogen and/or progestin	
tenderness	dose. Change progestogen	
Bloating and	Change to progestogen with mild	
Fluid retention	diuretic effect (i.e., drospirenone) or	
	reduce estrogen dose	
Headache	Reduce estrogen dose and/or change	
	progestogen	
Dysmenorrhea	Extended pill regimen to reduce the	
	frequency of bleeding	
Decreased	No evidence supports a benefit of	
libido	one type of oral contraceptive pill	
	over another	
Breakthrough	If taking an ethinyl estradiol 20	
bleeding	microgram pill, increase estrogen	
	dose to a maximum of 35	
	microgram. Change progestogen if	
	already taking an ethinyloestradiol	
	30–35 microgram pill.	

Table 1: Stewart Mary, Black Kirsten. Choosing a combined oral contraceptive pill. Australian prescriber. 2015; Feb 38(1):6-11

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is now days had become a common endocrine disorder of all the women belonging to the reproductive age and combined oral contraceptives (OCs) are mainly considered as the first-line treatment. The cardio metabolic outcomes of OCs raise some concerns about their long-term use in PCOS, but presented data suggest that the benefits outweigh the risks. More studies are needed to make some clarification for the safety of long-term use of OCs in PCOS [44]. There is not all any evidence that any combined or progesterone-only hormonal pill is inherently better at preventing ovulation, conception, or implantation [45]. Oral contraceptives are mainly prescribed for the duration of 4 weeks at a time, with each 4-week packet

containing 4 to 7 days of hormone-free pills. If birth control pills are taken perfectly (100% of the time), the chance of pregnancy is 0.1%. However, in the real world, accounting for missed days of use, the chance of pregnancy is about 8% per year [46].

References

- 1. Gazibara T, Trajkovic G, Kovacevic N, Kurtagic I, Nurkovic S, Kisic-Tepavcevic D, et al. Oral contraceptives usage patterns: study of knowledge, attitudes and experience in Belgrade female medical students. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013 Nov; 288 (5):1165-70.
- 2. Christin-Maitre S. History of oral contraceptive drugs and their use worldwide. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013 Feb; 27(1):3-12.
- 3. Wright AA, Fayad GN, Selgrade JF, Olufsen MS. Mechanistic model of hormonal contraception. PLoS Comput Biol. 2020 Jun 29; 16(6):e1007848.
- 4. Brown EJ, Deshmukh P, Antell K. Contraception Update: Oral Contraception. FP Essent. 2017 Nov; 462:11-9.
- 5. Burkman R, Bell C, Serfaty D. The evolution of combined oral contraception: improving the risk-to-benefit ratio. Contraception. 2011 Jul; 84(1):19-34.
- 6. Sondheimer SJ. Oral contraceptives: mechanism of action, dosing, safety, and efficacy. Cutis. 2008 Jan; 81(1 Suppl):19-22.
- 7. Sims ST, Heather AK. Myths and Methodologies: Reducing scientific design ambiguity in studies comparing sexes and/or menstrual cycle phases. Exp Physiol. 2018 10;103(10):1309-17.
- 8. Szarewski A, Mansour D, Shulman LP. 50 years of "The Pill": celebrating a golden anniversary. J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care. 2010 Oct;36(4):231-8.
- 9. Allen AM, Weinberger AH, Wetherill RR, Howe CL, McKee SA. Oral Contraceptives and Cigarette

- Smoking: A Review of the Literature and Future Directions. Nicotine Tob Res. 2019 04 17; 21(5):592-601.
- 10. Williams JK. Rationale for new oral contraceptive dosing. Int J Fertil Womens Med. 2004 Jan-Feb;49(1):30-5.
- 11. Foussard-blanpin O, Paillot-renaud P, Bruneau-bigot A. [Oral contraception: failures and risks]. Lyon Pharm. 1984 Nov;35(6):385-93
- 12. Denis C. [Oral contraception: disadvantages of estrogen reduction]. Contracept Fertil Sex (Paris). 1993 Jun;21(6):481-8.
- 13. HEE L, KETTNER LO, VEJTORP M. Continuous use of oral contraceptives: an overview of effects and side-effects. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica. 2013 Feb;92(2):125-36.
- 14. Evans Ginger, Sutton Ginger. Oral Contraception. Med Clin North Am.2015 Feb;99(3).
- 15. Palacios S, Colli E, Regidor PA. Multicenter, phase III trials on the contraceptive efficacy, tolerability and safety of a new drospirenone-only pill. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2019 12;98(12):1549-57.
- 16. Marut EL. Oral contraceptives. Who, which, when, and why. Postgrad Med. 1987 Sep 15;82(4):66-70.
- 17. Frye CA. An overview of oral contraceptives: mechanism of action and clinical use. Neurology. 2006 Mar 28;66(6 Suppl 3):S29-36.
- 18. BRONSON RA. ORAL CONTRACEPTION. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology. 1981 Sep;24(3):869-78.
- 19. Rivera R, Yacobson I, Grimes D. The mechanism of action of hormonal contraceptives and intrauterine contraceptive devices. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999 Nov;181(5 Pt 1):1263-9.

- 20. Aminimoghaddam S. A Review of Recent Findings for Obstetricians about Combined Oral Contraceptive Pills. J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Res. 2017 May 20;2(2).
- 21. Stewart Mary, Black Kirsten. Choosing a combined oral contraceptive pill. Australian prescriber. 2015; Feb 38(1):6-11
- 22. Dayal M, Barnhart KT. Non-contraceptive Benefits and Therapeutic Uses of the Oral Contraceptive Pill. Semin Reprod Med. 2001;19(04):295-304.
- 23. De Leo V, Musacchio MC, Cappelli V, Piomboni P, Morgante G. Hormonal contraceptives: pharmacology tailored to women's health. Hum Reprod Update. 2016 Sep;22(5):634-46.
- 24. Lanza di Scalea T, Pearlstein T. Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder. Medical Clinics of North America. 2019 Jul;103(4):613-28.
- 25. Makrantonaki E, Zouboulis CC.[Hyperandrogenism, adrenal dysfunction, and hirsutism]. Hautarzt. 2020 Oct;71(10):752-61.
- 26. Arowojolu AO, Gallo MF, Lopez LM, Grimes DA. Combined oral contraceptive pills for treatment of acne. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012 Jul 11.
- 27. Bednarska S, Siejka A. The pathogenesis and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome: What's new. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2017 Mar-Apr;26(2):359-67.
- 28. Reid R, Leyland N, Wolfman W, Allaire C, Awadalla A, Best C, et al. Oral contraceptives and the risk of venous thromboembolism: An update. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2011 Mar;112(3):252-6.
- 29. Barr Nancy. Managing Adverse Effects of Hormonal Contraceptives. Am Fam Physician. 2010;82(12):1499-1506.
- 30. Lohr PA, Creinin MD. Oral contraceptives and breakthrough bleeding: what patients need to know. J Fam Pract. 2006 Oct;55(10):872-80.

- 31. Dulicek P, Ivanova E, Kostal M, Sadilek P, Beranek M, Zak P, et al. Analysis of Risk Factors of Stroke and Venous Thromboembolism in Females With Oral Contraceptives Use. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2018 Jul;24(5):797-802
- 32. Lidegaard Ø, Løkkegaard E, Jensen A, Skovlund CW, Keiding N. Thrombotic stroke and myocardial infarction with hormonal contraception. N Engl J Med. 2012 Jun 14;366(24):2257-66.
- 33. Beaber EF, Buist DS, Barlow WE, Malone KE, Reed SD, Li CI. Recent oral contraceptive use by formulation and breast cancer risk among women 20 to 49 years of age. Cancer Res. 2014 Aug 1;74(15):4078-89.
- 34. Gierisch JM, Coeytaux RR, Urrutia RP, Havrilesky LJ, Moorman PG, Lowery WJ, et al. Oral Contraceptive Use and Risk of Breast, Cervical, Colorectal, and Endometrial Cancers: A Systematic Review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2013 Nov;22(11):1931-43.
- 35. Todd N, Black A. Contraception for Adolescent. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2020 Feb 6;12(Suppl 1):28-40.
- 36. Davtyan C. Evidence-based case review. Contraception for adolescents. West J Med. 2000 Mar;172(3):166-71.
- 37. Apter D. Contraception options: Aspects unique to adolescent and young adult. Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2018 Apr;48:115-27. 38. Lewandowski SK, Duttge G, Meyer T. Quality of life and mental health in adolescent users of oral contraceptives. Results from the nationwide, representative German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Children and Adolescents (KiGGS). Qual Life Res. 2020 Aug;29(8):2209-18.
- 39. Svendal, G., Berk, M., Pasco, J. A., Jacka, F. N., Lund, A., & Williams, L. J. (2012). The use of hormonal

- contraceptive agents and mood disorders in women. Journal of Affective Disorders, 140, 92–96.
- 40. Sharma P. Reference manual for oral contraceptives.2016 March. Family Planning Division Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Government of India. 1-113.
- 41. Biddlecom A., Riley T., Darroch J, Sully E., Kantorová V., Wheldon M. Future Scenarios of Adolescent Contraceptive Use, Cost and Impact in Developing Regions. 2018 Aug. Guttmacher Institute, 1-17.
- 42. Burkman RT. Oral contraceptives: current status. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2001 Mar;44(1):62-72.
- 43. Vilos GA, Allaire C, Laberge PY, Leyland N, Vilos AG, Murji A, et al. The management of uterine leiomyomas. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2015 Feb;37(2):157-78
- 44. Helvaci N, Yildiz BO. Oral contraceptives in polycystic ovary syndrome. Minerva Endocrinol. 2014 Sep;39(3):175-87.
- 45. Szabo K, Schaff A. Oral contraceptives: does formulation matter? J Fam Pract 2013 Oct;62(10):E1-12.
- 46. Jin J. Oral Contraceptives. JAMA. 2014;311(3):321