ISSN- O: 2458 - 868X, ISSN–P: 2458 – 8687
National Library of Medicine - ID: 101731606

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (*IJMSIR*)
IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub
Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com
Volume – 10, Issue – 4, July – 2025, Page No. : 67 – 80

Navigating the Frontier: AI-Powered Advances in Neonatal Medicine

[1]Dr. Neha Khadke, Junior Resident, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Vikhe Patil Medical College and Hospital, Ahilyanagar, India

[2]Dr. Abhijit Shinde, Associate Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Vikhe Patil Medical College and Hospital, Ahilyanagar, India

[3]Dr. Sunil Natha Mhaske, Professor, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Vikhe Patil Medical College and Hospital, Ahilyanagar, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Neha Khadke, Junior Resident, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Vikhe Patil Medical College and Hospital, Ahilyanagar, India

Citation this Article: Dr. Neha Khadke, Dr. Abhijit Shinde, Dr. Sunil Natha Mhaske, "Navigating the Frontier: AI-Powered Advances in Neonatal Medicine", IJMSIR - July – 2025, Vol – 10, Issue - 4, P. No. 67 – 80.

Type of Publication: Review Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

With its innovative methods for diagnosis, clinical judgment, and ongoing monitoring, artificial intelligence (AI) is becoming a game-changer in neonatal care. With an emphasis on its potential to improve early disease detection, diagnostic accuracy, and individualized treatment plans, this narrative review examines the developing use of AI in neonatal care. Predictive modeling, neurological evaluation, imaging analysis, and therapy recommendations are some of the primary areas where AI is having a big influence. Neonatal outcomes are improved by these technologies, which let clinicians provide more prompt and focused therapies. Notwithstanding its potential, there are a number of obstacles to integrating AI into neonatal treatment. Algorithmic bias, restricted availability to high-quality datasets, and concerns about patient data confidentiality and informed permission are some of the main obstacles. Furthermore, concerns about ethical issues and regulatory supervision are still present. However, to improve and test these tools, physicians and AI developers must continue their interdisciplinary partnership. Future initiatives should focus on strengthening governance structures, increasing algorithm openness, and boosting data quality. AI has the potential to be a useful addition to newborn care with careful application, enhancing clinical judgment, optimizing processes, and eventually improving infant survival and long-term developmental outcomes.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Neonatal medicine, Predictive analytics, Clinical decision support, Medical imaging, Neurological monitoring, Algorithm bias, Personalised treatment.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is progressively transforming neonatal medicine by presenting innovative methodologies and advancements. The utilization of AI in neonatal medicine possesses the capacity for diagnosing and forecasting health conditions in neonates, which encompasses behavioral and mental health disorders, oncological conditions, syndromic disorders, and metabolic pathologies. Furthermore, AI has the potential to augment clinical decision-making by facilitating personalized care, treatment strategies, and early disease identification in infants. The implementation of AI in neonatal medicine not only enhances healthcare accessibility and operational efficiency but also contributes to improved diagnostic accuracy, therapeutic interventions, and overall health outcomes for newborns, thereby illustrating the groundbreaking influence of AI in this vital area of medical practice.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is significantly altering neonatal care by furnishing a diverse array of tools that enhance diagnostics, monitoring, and clinical decision-making processes. In the domain of neonatology, applications of AI encompass vital signs monitoring, disease forecasting, risk evaluation, neurological diagnostics, and image recognition technologies, which facilitate the prompt identification of infections. Deep learning (DL) models are particularly instrumental in survival analysis, neuroimaging, EEG interpretation, and the diagnosis of retinopathy of prematurity, thereby exhibiting their potential to ameliorate clinical outcomes and patient care. Additionally, AI-enabled clinical decision support systems employ machine learning techniques to predict clinical outcomes and identify early indicators of diseases in neonates; however, challenges remain in the real-time implementation of these systems, underscoring the imperative for continued research and development in this domain.

This literature review functions as a comprehensive investigation of the current landscape and prospective developments pertaining to the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) within neonatal medicine. It examines numerous facets, including the prevailing applications of AI in neonatal care, emerging trends, challenges, and potential future trajectories. Through an exhaustive analysis of extant research, methodologies, and outcomes, the review aspires to furnish an in-depth understanding of the utilization of AI, its ramifications for neonatal healthcare, and the opportunities it presents for enhancing neonatal outcomes in the forthcoming years. Moreover, it may address the lacunae in current knowledge, areas necessitating further inquiry, and possible obstacles to the extensive adoption of AI in neonatal medicine. Through this thorough examination, the literature review endeavors to augment the collective knowledge repository, inform subsequent research initiatives, and steer the development of AI-driven solutions specifically tailored for neonatal care environments.

Current State of Research

The field of neonatal medicine has conducted extensive investigations into the utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) across a variety of domains, as delineated in table (1). These scholarly contributions facilitate enhancements in patient outcomes within neonatal care. Collectively, these investigations underscore the transformative capacity of AI in advancing neonatal healthcare through the provision of early predictive analytics, precise diagnostic capabilities, individualized treatment strategies, and prognostic forecasting.

Table 1: Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Disease Prediction, Neurological Diagnosis, and Treatment Optimisation in Neonatal Medicine

DISEASE PREDICTION
AI tools are used to predict diseases such as:
- Respiratory Distress Syndrome
- Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia
- Apnea of Prematurity
AI aids in risk stratification for conditions like:
- Retinopathy of Prematurity
- Jaundice
DIAGNOSTIC SUPPORT IN NEUROLOGY
AI tools assist with:
- Electroencephalograms (EEGs)
- Sleep Stage Classification
- Neuroimaging
OPTIMISING TREATMENT
AI optimises treatment through:
- Pattern analysis of vital parameters
- Survival analysis

This table delineates particular clinical domains in which artificial intelligence technologies have demonstrated efficacy in the realm of neonatal care. AI facilitates the early prognostication of critical neonatal pathologies, including respiratory distress syndrome and bronchopulmonary dysplasia, provides diagnostic support in the field of neurology via EEG and neuroimaging analyses, and augments treatment precision through the examination of vital sign trends and survival probabilities. These implementations aspire to enhance early detection, risk stratification, and individualized care for neonates.

AI Techniques and Algorithms

A variety of artificial intelligence techniques and algorithms are being employed in neonatal medicine to advance diagnostics and therapeutic interventions, as elucidated in table (2). These AI applications tackle challenges such as imbalanced data and limited datasets inherent in neonatal medicine, thereby presenting promising pathways for improved clinical outcomes and personalized care within the discipline of neonatology.

Table 2: Key Artificial Intelligence Techniques and Their Applications in Neonatal Medicine

AI Techniques And Algorithms In Neonatal Medicine
- Utilisation of machine learning(ML) and deep learning(DL) methods.
- Focus areas include:
- Survival analysis

- Neuroimaging
- EEG analysis
- Vital parameter pattern recognition
- Diagnosing retinopathy of prematurity
Natural Language Processing (NLP)
- NLP is used for analysing clinical notes.
- Extracts valuable information from textual data.
- Aids in decision-making processes.
Computer Vision Technologies
- Prominent in image recognition.
- Identifies infections and other conditions promptly.
- Potential to revolutionise neonatal care.

This table encapsulates the principal artificial intelligence methodologies employed in neonatal healthcare, which encompass machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, and computer vision. These methodologies facilitate a spectrum of applications including the interpretation of neuroimaging, analysis of electroencephalograms, recognition of patterns in vital signs, diagnosis of retinopathy of prematurity, and the automated extraction of insights from clinical documentation, all of which collectively enhance diagnostic precision and clinical decision-making in neonatal care.

Data Sources in AI Research within Neonatal Medicine

In the domain of artificial intelligence research in neonatal medicine, a variety of data sources are employed as delineated in table (3). By capitalizing on these heterogeneous data sources, artificial intelligence systems can augment decision-making processes and elevate patient outcomes in neonatal healthcare.

Table 3: Common Data Sources Utilised in Artificial Intelligence Research in Neonatal Medicine

Data Sources In Ai Research In Neonatal Medicine
Electronic Health Records (EHRs)
- Provide valuable information on patient history and treatment outcomes.
Medical Imaging Data
- Includes neuroimaging and retinopathy of prematurity diagnosis.
- Crucial for diagnostic and prognostic support.
Physiological Signals
- Comprise vital signs monitoring and EEG patterns.
- Essential for assessing neonatal health and predicting diseases.
Genomic Data

- Increasingly integrated into AI models.
- Helps understand genetic predispositions.
- Enables personalised treatments for neonatal conditions.

This table delineates the primary categories of data utilized in artificial intelligence applications focused on neonatal care, encompassing electronic health records, imaging data, physiological monitoring, and genomic information. Each data source distinctively contributes to the formulation of predictive, diagnostic, and personalized AI models intended to enhance neonatal health outcomes.

Applications in Clinical Practice

From Data to Decisions: AI Forecasting in Neonatal Health

The enhancement of neonatal datasets has facilitated the creation of deep learning models designed to forecast outcomes such as neonatal mortality and morbidity[1]. Contemporary preventive strategies predominantly rely on subjective evaluations derived from birth characteristics and prior medical encounters, which may result in treatment delays and the neglect of potential therapeutic interventions. Deep learning (DL) methodologies have been applied to extensive clinical datasets to unveil latent data patterns, including the examination of the heterogeneity of neonatal nutritional practices and their association with clinical outcomes [2]. This methodological framework possesses the capacity to identify "optimal" nutritional practices and augment the understanding of the fundamental pathophysiology and the impact of these practices on neonatal health outcomes. Furthermore, DL exhibits promising prospects in treatment planning and discharge processes from the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) [3].

Smart Health: Harnessing AI for Advanced Vital Signs Monitoring

The continuous monitoring of vital signs in neonates, such as respiratory and heart rates as well as body temperature, constitutes a pivotal component of contemporary neonatal care. Historically, sensors have been affixed directly to the skin, potentially leading to skin injuries, stress, and discomfort. Recently, innovative non-contact measurement techniques, including video recordings and laser Doppler vibrometry, have been developed to continuously monitor these vital parameters, thereby mitigating such complications in vulnerable infants. The uninterrupted visualization of monitoring data within electronic medical records empowers healthcare providers to discern specific patterns within the integrated data. This proactive detection capability can facilitate the identification of pathological states, frequently hours prior to their clinical manifestation [4]. Machine learning (ML) algorithms excel in disease recognition and support clinical decision-making, often surpassing the performance of healthcare practitioners. Moreover, AI algorithms are adept at identifying late-onset sepsis (LOS) before the emergence of clinical symptoms. ML algorithms have harnessed Electronic Health Records (EHR) and medical documentation for the diagnostic assessment of various neonatal conditions. These encompass congenital heart defects [5], Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy (HIE) [6], Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH) [7], neonatal jaundice [8], prediction of Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC)[9], forecasting neurodevelopmental outcomes in infants of extremely low birth weight (ELBW) [10,11], and predicting neonatal surgical site infections [12]. The Support Vector

Machine (SVM) has emerged as a predominantly employed technique in the diagnostic evaluation of metabolic disorders in neonates, which includes conditions such as methyl malonic acidemia (MMA) [13], Phenylketonuria (PKU) [14,15], and Medium Chain Acyl CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (MCADD) [14].

The Core of the Inquiry: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Cardiovascular Care

Deep Learning (DL) has exhibited substantial effectiveness in the real-time evaluation of Cardiac MRI pertaining to Congenital Heart Disease[16]. Empirical evidence suggests that DL can accurately quantify ventricular volumes from images reconstructed utilizing residual UNet, yielding results that are on par with the established benchmark, Cardiac MRI. This technological advancement presents considerable promise, particularly for neonates and critically ill individuals who are unable to sustain breath-holding during imaging procedures. Machine Learning (ML) methodologies have been employed for the detection of Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA) through the analysis of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and auscultation documentation.

Facilitating Respiratory Ease: The Transformative Influence of AI in Respiratory Care

The process of weaning from mechanical ventilation (MV) continues to pose significant challenges within the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU), with an extubation failure rate ranging from 15% to 40% among infants[17]. Artificial Intelligence (AI) methodologies have been harnessed to devise decision support instruments aimed at forecasting extubation readiness and neonatal outcomes[18,19]. Precup et al. proposed a predictive model employing support vector machines, which holds the potential to diminish the extubation failure rate by over 80% when contrasted with conventional clinical metrics[18]. Raimondi et al. conducted an investigation into lung ultrasound, a modality for quantifying pulmonary aeration, and its relationship with respiratory status in compromised neonates through AI-assisted analytical techniques[20]. Within the domain of pediatric respiratory care, a central research objective is the risk stratification for bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) to identify infants who may benefit from prophylactic interventions such as corticosteroids or treatment for patent ductus arteriosus utilizing standardized risk assessment instruments. Varisco and colleagues formulated and validated a machine learning-driven model aimed at enhancing the identification of apnea of prematurity (AOP) through the analysis of electrocardiographic data derived from infants[21]. Their findings indicated that AOP frequently manifests in clusters and that patients exhibiting recurrent central AOP presented with more disrupted respiratory patterns. The study concluded that AI enhances apnea detection while generating fewer false alarms in comparison to traditional methodologies. Considering that alarm fatigue is an escalating concern in contemporary NICUs, which can result in overlooked alarms and detrimental outcomes, AI possesses the capacity to fundamentally transform routine clinical practices.

Exploring Gastrointestinal Health: The Contribution of AI to Gastrointestinal Wellness

A recent investigation resulted in the development of an AI algorithm utilizing an extensive national dataset encompassing clinical characteristics of infants[22]. The authors proposed that the integration of diverse clinical data, including vital signs, radiological observations, biomarkers, and laboratory findings, could facilitate the creation of a more precise machine learning model. This model could potentially allow for the early prediction of infections and enhance outcomes for preterm infants. It appears that Han et al. have made notable advancements in employing AI to forecast postnatal growth failure in very low birth weight infants[23]. Nonetheless, the absence of crucial nutritional data within the dataset may have influenced the results of the study. The incorporation of such information could potentially improve the predictive accuracy of subsequent models.

Illuminating Neonatal Jaundice: Innovations in AI for Early Detection

The investigation conducted by the Saudi Arabian research group into the application of deep learning and machine learning models for the diagnosis of neonatal jaundice through smartphone imagery is a noteworthy advancement, particularly in light of the widespread availability of such technology. Furthermore, the methodology proposed by Guardalia et al., which employs machine learning for risk stratification in the absence of bilirubin measurements, underscores the promising potential of non-invasive techniques in the effective management of neonatal jaundice[24]. Collectively, these investigations underscore the adaptability and potential of artificial intelligence within the domain of neonatal healthcare.

Deciphering the Brain: The Influence of AI on Advanced Neurological Monitoring

The diagnosis of seizures in neonates presents a significant challenge, as these events are frequently detectable only via electroencephalogram (EEG), can be obscured by pharmacological intervention, and are difficult to differentiate from typical neonatal movements. Consequently, EEG monitoring is indispensable for the identification of neonatal seizures, with continuous EEG (cEEG) monitoring being established as the standard of care[25]. Artificial intelligence has been extensively examined in the realm of sleep research pertaining to both term and preterm infants, utilizing EEG data to facilitate the automatic classification of neonatal sleep stages. The identification of sleep states may enhance neuroprotective care, as minimizing disturbances during deep sleep can function as a therapeutic intervention. Nonetheless, AI-driven instruments for evaluating neonatal cortical background activity remain in the nascent phase of preclinical research. For infants diagnosed with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) undergoing therapeutic hypothermia, it is advisable to conduct EEG recordings for up to 72 hours, as seizures are most likely to manifest during the rewarming phase[25]. However, the implementation of continuous EEG (cEEG) monitoring is often limited in numerous Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs) due to resource constraints. The interpretation of cEEG data is intricate and labor-intensive, necessitating the presence of skilled neurophysiologists around the clock. These obstacles impede the utilization of this vital neuro monitoring apparatus, with only fifty percent of NICUs reporting the employment of cEEGs, thereby revealing a significant implementation challenge[26]. Recently, artificial intelligence has been employed in neonatal neuroimaging and developmental follow-up assessments. Myelination within the neonatal brain commences in the posterior limb of the internal capsule (PLIC). Abnormal or asymmetrical MRI signals in the PLIC have been associated with perinatal complications, hemiplegia, and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes[27]. Valavani et al. utilized machine learning algorithms to forecast language capabilities at the age of two years corrected for prematurity by analyzing MRI characteristics alongside perinatal clinical data[28]. Additionally, Balta et al. have progressed in the automated analysis of infants' general movements[29], which serves as a crucial screening mechanism for childhood neuromotor disorders. The early prediction of neurodevelopmental disorders represents a fundamental objective of precision medicine, as it facilitates timely and more effective interventions, ultimately improving prognostic outcomes. A novel automated instrument for the estimation of postnatal gestational age employed images of a newborn infant's facial features, ear, and foot. This tool, trained to recognize specific anatomical landmarks while disregarding extraneous background noise, yielded estimates within an approximate timeframe of six days, thereby surpassing conventional methodologies such as postnatal clinical examination and the Ballard score[30]. The integration of these algorithms within smartphone applications could allow for rapid gestational age estimation in environments lacking alternative antenatal dating resources. Comparable methodologies could be adapted to assist in the diagnosis of neonatal conditions, particularly syndromes, especially when utilized in conjunction with supplementary data sources[31].

Enhanced Insights: The Contribution of Artificial Intelligence in the Progression of Ophthalmic Healthcare

Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), recognized as a predominant contributor to childhood visual impairment, is influenced by a multitude of determinants including low birth weight, diminished gestational age, exposure to oxygen, infections, and blood transfusions[32]. An advanced deep-learning comprehensive screening platform has the potential to augment the objectivity and accessibility of ROP diagnosis and screening. Recently, researchers have formulated a deep-learning algorithm aimed at predicting ROP and its severity within a substantial multicenter investigation[33]. Notwithstanding the encouraging findings of the study, there remains a pressing need for expansive external validation utilizing alternative prospective multicenter datasets. The deficit of ophthalmologists equipped to manage patients afflicted with Retinopathy of Prematurity (ROP) has spurred increased interest in the implementation of telemedicine and artificial intelligence as viable alternatives for ROP diagnosis[34].

Challenges and Limitations

Artificial intelligence holds considerable promise in enhancing disease comprehension and therapeutic approaches across various disciplines, including neonatal healthcare. Point-of-care diagnostic tools are instrumental in managing conditions such as respiratory distress syndrome (RDS). Ethical dilemmas emerge due to issues surrounding infant consent and parental complexities. The application of machine learning in clinical decision-making introduces challenges related to accountability and data integrity, which encompass generalizability and model validation. Given the prevalence of the discussed diseases and the financial implications associated with them, there exists a compelling rationale for the incorporation of AI assessment into the research agenda[35,36,37].

Table 4: Key Challenges in the Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Neonatal Medicine

Key Challenges In AI Implementation	
Quality of Datasets	One of the primary challenges in implementing AI in neonatal medicine is ensuring the quality of the datasets used. The accuracy and reliability of AI tools heavily depend on the quality of the data they are trained on.

Performance Assessment	Evaluating the performance of AI algorithms in neonatal care settings poses a significant challenge. It is crucial to assess how well these tools function in real-world scenarios and their impact on clinical outcomes
External Validation	Another challenge is the need for appropriate external validation of AI tools in neonatal medicine. Validating the effectiveness and generalisability of these tools across different patient populations and healthcare settings is essential for their successful implementation
Clinical Impact Studies	Conducting studies to evaluate the clinical impact of AI tools in neonatal care is crucial. Understanding how these tools influence patient outcomes, healthcare workflows, and overall quality of care is essential for their adoption and integration into clinical practice

This table delineates the principal impediments to the effective adoption of artificial intelligence in neonatal care, encompassing apprehensions regarding dataset integrity, the evaluation of algorithmic performance, the absence of external validation, and the inadequacy of clinical impact studies. It is imperative to address these obstacles in order to facilitate the safe, effective, and evidence-based incorporation of AI instruments into neonatal clinical practices.

The implementation of AI within the domain of neonatal medicine is beset by a multitude of challenges and limitations. Issues concerning data quality, such as the scarcity of extensive datasets and the imbalance of data stemming from the infrequency of adverse outcomes, significantly obstruct the application of AI in neonatology. Algorithmic bias presents a substantial concern, as AI systems may not consistently account for the diversity of patient populations or may unintentionally reinforce pre-existing biases. The interpretability of results is pivotal in neonatal care, where healthcare practitioners require a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms by which AI algorithms derive their conclusions to establish trust and make informed decisions based on these insights. Additionally, regulatory considerations and ethical dilemmas are prominent, presenting unique challenges within the ethical and regulatory framework that must be addressed to ensure the responsible integration of AI into neonatal medicine. Achieving a balance between the prospective advantages of AI and these challenges is fundamental for the secure and effective assimilation of AI tools in neonatal care.

The attainment of interpretability and transparency is vital for the realization of augmented intelligence. Ongoing innovative efforts are being undertaken to enhance the interpretability of AI systems. Significant progress has been made in revising existing critical appraisal, regulatory, and monitoring guidelines for AI healthcare devices. It is crucial to recognize that a plethora of articles and studies have investigated the application of AI in neonatology; however, many of these lack sufficient detail, thereby complicating comprehensive comparison and evaluation. Consequently, clinicians may not acquire a holistic understanding of AI's applications within the broader healthcare framework. Numerous limitations impede the implementation of AI in neonatology, including the absence of prospective study designs, restricted clinical integration, small sample sizes, and evaluations conducted at single institutions.

The hesitance of physicians to place trust in AI-based systems can largely be attributed to the lack of comprehensive randomized clinical trials, particularly within the pediatric realm. Such trials are vital for substantiating the reliability and efficacy of AI systems in comparison to conventional methodologies in diagnosing neonatal conditions and recommending appropriate therapeutic interventions. Many proposed AI solutions in the medical field are not designed to supplant the decisions or expertise of physicians but rather to augment their capabilities. In the context of neonatology, where the objective is to enhance survival rates without incurring long-term complications, AI has the potential to be revolutionary. With the facilitation of multidisciplinary collaborations, AI could achieve unprecedented levels of efficacy in neonatal care, provided that physicians allocate additional resources and support toward its advancement.

Another significant hurdle to surmount is the trustworthiness of algorithms. Numerous prevalent deep learning models function through a black-box methodology, wherein the model receives input and generates a prediction without elucidating its reasoning. In critical medical scenarios, this deficiency in transparency can introduce considerable risks. AI possesses substantial potential for enhancing healthcare outcomes, yet it concurrently engenders notable ethical dilemmas. These concerns encompass issues such as informed consent, bias, safety, transparency, patient privacy, and resource allocation. Addressing these issues presents intricate challenges that are complex to navigate.

Table 5: Key Challenges and Considerations in the Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in Neonatal Care

AI Hurdles In Neonatal Care	
Challenges	Data quality issues, algorithm bias, regulatory concerns
Limitations	Lack of detail in many studies, hindering evaluation
Obstacles	Absence of prospective designs, limited clinical integration
Trials	Need for robust randomised clinical trials for AI reliability
Role	AI intended to assist rather than replace doctors’ decisions
Collaboration	Multidisciplinary efforts crucial for AI’s potential
Trust	Concerns about the trustworthiness of algorithms
Ethical Issues	Informed consent, bias, safety, transparency, privacy

This table presents a comprehensive overview of the principal obstacles encountered in the integration of artificial intelligence technologies within the realm of neonatal medicine. It delineates fundamental challenges pertaining to the quality of data, regulatory and ethical impediments, deficiencies in the extant evidence, as well as the significance of interdisciplinary collaboration and trust in guaranteeing the secure and efficacious implementation of AI instruments in clinical practice.

Future Directions and Opportunities

The prospective trajectories and opportunities for artificial intelligence within neonatal medicine encompass the enhancement of AI applications to incorporate monitoring of vital signs, prediction of diseases, risk stratification, support for neurological diagnostics, and advancements in image recognition technologies. The collaboration between artificial intelligence specialists and neonatologists is imperative for the development of innovative AI models aimed at facilitating early diagnosis and treatment planning within the field of neonatology. The integration of AI into clinical workflows possesses the potential to transform neonatal care by furnishing decision-support tools that enable the swift identification of infections, forecasting service demand, and optimizing hospital operational efficiencies. To propel research and development in AI pertaining to neonatal medicine, it is crucial to address challenges such as the imbalance in datasets and the limitations imposed by small sample sizes, thereby underscoring the requirement for further investigations to improve the accuracy and dependability of AI models in neonatology.

Conclusion

The literature review concerning artificial intelligence in neonatal medicine underscores the substantial influence of AI tools across various dimensions of neonatal care, which include the monitoring of vital signs, disease prediction, risk stratification, neurological support, and image recognition capabilities. Challenges such as data scarcity and dataset imbalance have been identified, particularly within the domain of neonatology, thereby accentuating the necessity for further research and development in this area. Recent scholarly investigations have yielded promising outcomes regarding the employment of AI models for the interpretation of lung ultrasounds in neonates, exhibiting high levels of accuracy in forecasting the necessity for respiratory assistance and surfactant therapy. The current landscape of AI within neonatal medicine suggests a transformative potential to enhance clinical practice, with future prospects oriented towards the integration of AI within neonatal intensive care units and the formulation of novel AI models to tackle the outstanding challenges.

Artificial intelligence is set to become an integral element of the data-rich environment in neonatal care, with ongoing inquiries into a myriad of applications. These applications include mortality and disease prediction, image analysis, and clinical decision-support systems. However, the current deployment of AI in neonatology remains significantly behind that observed in adult medical specialties. A concerted initiative is essential to accelerate the research and translational efforts of neonatal AI into substantial clinical practice. Artificial intelligence is on the verge of becoming a vital asset within the neonatal care framework, assisting healthcare providers (HCPs) and parents/caregivers in delivering improved, efficient, and safer neonatal care. To actualize this potential, two pivotal steps must be undertaken. Firstly, the enhancement of digital literacy among healthcare providers is critical for comprehending the principles and limitations of AI. This knowledge empowers healthcare providers to critically assess newly developed AI tools and ensure their safe and appropriate application in clinical environments. Secondly, the cultivation of cross-disciplinary international collaborations that include data scientists, computer scientists, healthcare providers, legal professionals, and policymakers is vital. This collaborative strategy is necessary to design and implement AI tools that effectively address the aforementioned challenges.

References

1. Mangold C, Zoretic S, Thallapureddy K, Moreira A, Chorath K. Machine learning models for predicting neonatal mortality: a systematic review. Neonatology 2021; 118:394–405

2. GreenburySF,OughamK,WuJ,BattersbyC,GaleC,ModiN,etal.Identificationof variation in nutritional practice in neonatal units in England and association with clinical outcomes using agnostic machine learning. Sci Rep 2021;11:7178.
3. Temple, M.W., Lehmann, C.U. & Fabbri, D. Predicting Discharge Dates From the NICU Using Progress Note Data. Pediatrics 136, e395-405 (2015).
4. Kumar N, Akangire G, Sullivan B, Fairchild K, Sampath V. Continuous vital sign analysis for predicting and preventing neonatal diseases in the twenty-first century: big data to the forefront. Pediatr Res 2020;87:210–20.
5. Reed, N.E., Gini, M., Johnson, P.E. & Moller, J.H. Diagnosing congenital heart defects using the Fallot computational model. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 10, 25-40 (1997).
6. Li, L., et al. The use of fuzzy backpropagation neural networks for the early diagnosis of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy in newborns. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011, 349490 (2011).
7. Zernikow, B., et al. Artificial neural network for predicting intracranial haemorrhage in preterm neonates. Acta Paediatrica 87, 969-975 (1998).
8. Ferreira, D., Oliveira, A. & Freitas, A. Applying data mining techniques to improve diagnosis in neonatal jaundice. BMC medical informatics and decision making 12, 1-6 (2012).
9. Ji, J., et al. A data-driven algorithm integrating clinical and laboratory features for the diagnosis and prognosis of necrotizing enterocolitis. PLoS One 9, e89860 (2014).
10. Ambalavanan, N., et al. Prediction of neurologic morbidity in extremely low birth weight infants. Journal of Perinatology 20, 496-503 (2000).
11. Soleimani, F., Teymouri, R. & Biglarian, A. Predicting developmental disorder in infants using an artificial neural network. Acta Medica Iranica, 347-352 (2013).
12. Bartz-Kurycki, M.A., et al. Enhanced neonatal surgical site infection prediction model utilizing statistically and clinically significant variables in combination with a machine learning algorithm. Am J Surg 216, 764-777 (2018).
13. Hsu, K.P., et al. A newborn screening system based on service-oriented architecture embedded support vector machine. J Med Syst 34, 899-907 (2010).
14. Baumgartner, C., et al. Supervised machine learning techniques for the classification of metabolic disorders in newborns. Bioinformatics 20, 2985-2996 (2004).
15. Chen, W.H., et al. Web-based newborn screening system for metabolic diseases: machine learning versus clinicians. J Med Internet Res 15, e98 (2013).
16. Hauptmann, A., Arridge, S., Lucka, F., Muthurangu, V. & Steeden, J.A. Real-time cardiovascular MR with spatio-temporal artifact suppression using deep learning-proof of concept in congenital heart disease. Magn Reson Med 81, 1143-1156 (2019).
17. Dimitriou G, Fouzas S, Vervenioti A, Tzifas S, Mantagos S. Prediction of extubation outcome in preterm infants by composite extubation indices. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2011;12:e242–9.
18. Precup D, Robles-Rubio CA, Brown KA, Kanbar L, Kaczmarek J, Chawla S, et al. Prediction of extubation readiness in extreme preterm infants based on measures of cardiorespiratory variability. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2012;2012: 5630–3.
19. Mueller M, Almeida JS, Stanislaus R, Wagner CL. Can machine learning methods predict extubation

outcome in premature infants as well as clinicians? J Neonatal Biol 2013;2.

20. Raimondi, F.; Migliaro, F.; Verdoliva, L.; Gragnaniello, D.; Poggi, G.; Kosova, R.; Sansone, C.; Vallone, G.; Capasso, L. Visual assessment versus computer-assisted gray scale analysis in the ultrasound evaluation of neonatal respiratory status. PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0202397. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Varisco, G.; Peng, Z.; Kommers, D.; Zhan, Z.; Cottaar, W.; Andriessen, P.; Long, X.; van Pul, C. Central apnea detection in premature infants using machine learning. Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. 2022, 226, 107155. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Son, J.; Kim, D.; Na, J.Y.; Jung, D.; Ahn, J.-H.; Kim, T.H.; Park, H.-K. Development of artificial neural networks for early prediction of intestinal perforation in preterm infants. Sci. Rep. 2022, 12, 12112. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
23. Han, J.H.; Yoon, S.J.; Lee, H.S.; Park, G.; Lim, J.; Shin, J.E.; Eun, H.S.; Park, M.S.; Lee, S.M. Application of Machine Learning Approaches to Predict Postnatal Growth Failure in Very Low Birth Weight Infants. Yonsei Med. J. 2022, 63, 640–647. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
24. Guedalia, J.; Farkash, R.; Wasserteil, N.; Kasirer, Y.; Rottenstreich, M.; Unger, R.; Grisaru Granovsky, S. Primary risk stratification for neonatal jaundice among term neonates using machine learning algorithm. Early Hum. Dev. 2022, 165, 105538. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
25. Shellhaas, R.A.; Chang, T.; Tsuchida, T.; Scher, M.S.; Riviello, J.J.; Abend, N.S.; Nguyen, S.; Wusthoff, C.J.; Clancy, R.R. The American Clinical Neurophysiology Society's Guideline on Continuous Electroencephalography Monitoring in Neonates. J. Clin. Neurophysiol. 2011, 28, 611–617. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
26. Tagin, M.A.; Woolcott, C.G.; Vincer, M.J.; Whyte, R.K.; Stinson, D.A. Hypothermia for neonatal hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med. 2012, 166, 558–566. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
27. De Vries, L.S.; Groenendaal, F.; van Haastert, I.C.; Eken, P.; Rademaker, K.J.; Meiners, L.C. Asymmetrical myelination of the posterior limb of the internal capsule in infants with periventricular haemorrhagic infarction: An early predictor of hemiplegia. Neuropediatrics 1999, 30, 314–319. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
28. Valavani, E.; Blesa, M.; Galdi, P.; Sullivan, G.; Dean, B.; Cruickshank, H.; Sitko-Rudnicka, M.; Bastin, M.E.; Chin, R.F.M.; MacIntyre, D.J.; et al. Language function following preterm birth: Prediction using machine learning. Pediatr. Res.2022, 92, 480–489. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
29. Balta, D.; Kuo, H.; Wang, J.; Porco, I.G.; Morozova, O.; Schladen, M.M.; Cereatti, A.; Lum, P.S.; Della Croce, U. Characterization of Infants’ General Movements Using a Commercial RGB-Depth Sensor and a Deep Neural Network Tracking Processing Tool: An Exploratory Study. Sensors 2022, 22, 7426. [Google Scholar]
30. Lynch CD, Zhang J. The research implications of the selection of a gestational age estimation method. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2007;21(Suppl 2):86–96.
31. Porras AR, Rosenbaum K, Tor-Diez C, Summar M, Linguraru MG. Development and evaluation of a machine learning-based point-of-care screening tool for genetic syndromes in children: a multinational

retrospective study. Lancet Digit Health 2021; 3:e635–43.

32. Nobile, S.; Gnocchini, F.; Pantanetti, M.; Battistini, P.; Carnielli, V.P. The importance of oxygen control reaffirmed: Experience of ROP reduction at a single tertiary care center. J. Pediatr. Ophthalmol. Strabismus. 2014, 51, 112–115. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Wu, Q.; Hu, Y.; Mo, Z.; Wu, R.; Zhang, X.; Yang, Y.; Liu, B.; Xiao, Y.; Zeng, X.; Lin, Z.; et al. Development and Validation of a Deep Learning Model to Predict the Occurrence and Severity of Retinopathy of Prematurity. JAMA Netw. Open2022, 5, e2217447. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Barrero-Castillero, A., Corwin, B.K., VanderVeen, D.K. & Wang, J.C. Workforce Shortage for Retinopathy of Prematurity Care and Emerging Role of Telehealth and Artificial Intelligence. Pediatr Clin North Am 67, 725-733 (2020).
35. Alvarez-Fuente, M.; Arruza, L.; Muro, M.; Zozaya, C.; Avila, A.; López-Ortego, P.; González-Armengod, G.; Torrent, A.; Luis Gavilán, J.; del Cerro, M.J. The economic impact of prematurity and bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Eur. J. Pediatr. 2017, 176, 1587–1593. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Pinto, F.; Fernandes, E.; Virella, D.; Abrantes, A.; Neto, M.T. Born Preterm: A Public Health Issue. Port. J. Public Health 2019, 37, 38–49. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
37. Zejin Ou, Z.; Yu, D.; Liang, Y.; He, H.; He, W.; Li, Y.; Zhang, M.; Gao, Y.; Wu, F.; Chen, Q. Yongzhi LiGlobal trends in incidence and death of neonatal disorders and its specific causes in 204 countries/territories during 1990–2019. BMC Public Health 2022, 22, 360. [Google Scholar]