

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

# IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub

Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com

Volume – 8, Issue – 3, May – 2023, Page No.: 175 – 183

Association of co-morbid anxiety and depression among patients of kidney disease requiring dialysis.

<sup>1</sup>Shefali Singla, MBBS, Junior Resident, Institute of Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry, Pt. B. D. Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

<sup>2</sup>Vineeta Malik, MBBS, Junior Resident, Institute of Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry, Pt. B. D. Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

<sup>3</sup>Adwitiya Ray, MBBS, Junior Resident, Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, Pt. B. D. Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

<sup>4</sup>Yogender Kumar Malik, MD, DNB, DCMH, MNAMS, Assisstant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, Pt. B. D. Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

<sup>5</sup>Dr. Prerna Panjeta, Associate Professor Biochemistry, B.P.S Govt. Medical college for women, Khanpur Kalan, Sonipat.

<sup>6</sup>Vikram Kala, MD, Professor and I/C Nephrology unit, B.P.S Govt. Medical college for women, Khanpur Kalan, Sonipat.

**Corresponding Author:** Yogender Kumar Malik, MD, DNB, DCMH, MNAMS, Assisstant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, Pt. B. D. Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

**Citation this Article:** Shefali Singla, Vineeta Malik, Adwitiya Ray, Yogender Kumar Malik, Dr. Prerna Panjeta, Vikram Kala, "Association of co-morbid anxiety and depression among patients of kidney disease requiring dialysis", IJMSIR-May - 2023, Vol – 8, Issue - 3, P. No. 175–183.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

## Abstract

**Background:** Psychiatric disorders co-exist with the diagnosis of kidney diseases. Depression and anxiety are commonly co-occurring psycho patho logy with kidney diseases especially those on dialysis. Multiple studies have demonstrated that this co-occurring psycho patho logy is a robust risk factor for adverse outcomes such as hospitalization and mortality, yet these are often under-diagnosed. However, the links between depression and anxiety with kidney diseases are less studied. So this study aimed to assess the level of anxiety, depression, and clinical correlates amongst dialysis patients reported to the hemodialysis unit.

**Objective:** To explore the level of anxiety, depression, and clinical correlates amongst dialysis patients.

**Method:** It was a cross-sectional descriptive study that was conducted for 2 months at the hemodialysis unit of a tertiary care center in a rural area of Haryana. The participants were 41 patients with end - stage renal disease on maintenance hemo dialysis residents of rural area Haryana. All parti cipants completed the socio-demo graphic details, assessed for depression and anxiety with Beck Depression Inventory and Beck Anxiety Inventory. **Results:** The mean age was  $47.73\pm14.63$  years. The

majority of the patients were males (56.07%), 41-60 years old (51.2%), of normal BMI (56.06%), literate (70. 7%), on dialysis for less than 5 years (63.4%), and had serum albumin less than 3.5gm/dl (70.8%). The overall prevalence of depression and anxiety in the sample was 63 .4% and 36. 5% respectively. The statistically significant association was with duration of dialysis.

**Conclusion:** There is a need for close liaison bet ween Physicians and Psychiatrists for the positive effect on the outcome of kidney diseases.

**Keywords:** Depression, Anxiety, Acute Kidney Injury, Chronic Kidney Disease, Dialysis

## Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) affects 10-15% of adults globally <sup>[1]</sup>. In stages 1-4 of CKD, treatment (i.e., pharma cotherapy and diet) is focused on slow ing the prog ression of the kidney disease and preventing or treating complications and co-morbid con ditions. In the final stage of CKD (i.e., stage 5 or end-stage renal disease [ESRD], renal replace ment therapy [RRT] such as dialysis or kidney trans plantation becomes necessary to maintain life. ESRD is a major public health issue in both develop ped and developing countries <sup>[2][3]</sup>. With an increasing number of patients developing CKD, the number of patients requiring RRT is set to increase expon entially. This can cause an increased burden on the healthcare system.

Due to lack of accurate national data collection, the in cidence of CKD in India is not clear but studies estimate that the number of new patients diagnosed with ESRD who are started on dialysis or trans plantation is over 100, 000 per year. This number grossly underestimates the true burden of kidney disease in our country given the inequality in access to health care between urban and rural populations, due to disparities in wealth and literacy <sup>[4]</sup>.

Aside from these general population-based issues, there are unique medical and socio-economic challenges faced by women, which are essential to understanding to im prove their access to kidney care and therefore their overall physical and psychosocial health. Levin was first to introduce the term "psycho nephrology", to high light that patients undergoing RRT usually encounter multiple stressors thus resulting in psychiatric disturbance<sup>[5]</sup>.

Patients with CKD are required to make ongoing psycho logical adjustments over the course of their disease, such as accepting the life-threatening diagnosis and need for lifelong treatment, learning dialysis techniques, restric tions in daily life, compliance to a therapeutic regimen including restrictions in diet, fatigue, the fear of death, failure to fulfill prior roles in the family, and dependency upon treatment and health professionals <sup>[6]</sup>.

In light of such a substantial and sustained disease burden; the management of CKD\ ESRD has expanded from strictly clinical endpoints toward maintenance of the quality of life (QoL), from diagnosis through to endof-life care. Of paramount im portance are psychological concerns related to the disease and associated renal treatment <sup>[7]</sup>. Regarding differences between the main methods of RRT that is Hemodialysis [HD] and Peritoneal dialysis [PD], HD patients have been found to experience more depressive symptoms than PD patients <sup>[5]</sup>.

Depression may be linked to HD treatment modality since the patient has to be continually connected to the HD machine during dialysis and so experience significant restrictions in independent living. Patients on HD face many psychological distur bances due to psychological distress, <sup>[8]</sup> including depression and anxiety <sup>[9][10][11]</sup> with increased symptom load and poor QoL <sup>[12]</sup>. Furthermore, these psychological problems can contribute to conflicts between themselves and their medical caregivers. Such findings are attributed in part to the stressful conditions in the HD treatment modality, including frequent visits and prolonged waiting time in the dialysis unit <sup>[5]</sup>. When compared with the general population, patients with ESRD showed more than five times the rate of suffering from depression <sup>[13],</sup> and older patients who presented with lower levels of physical well-being were found to have higher scores of depressions <sup>[7]</sup>. Keskin et al, in a study, revealed that depression is a risk factor for suicidal ideation, and the chances of suicide attempts increase with the severity of depression <sup>[14]</sup>. In studies, an inverse relation was observed between de pression and socioeco nomic status <sup>[7]</sup>. In a recent review conducted by Veater and East, kidney transplant patients were noted to experience elevated levels of depression as compared to the general population but generally lower rates of depression compared to patients on other RRTs <sup>[15]</sup>. Mapes et al demonstrated that among patients on HD, de pression was independently associated with increased mortality and hospitalization <sup>[16]</sup>.

Interestingly, health professionals focus on managing the bio logical dimension of the disease and usually underestimate symptoms from the mental dimension. This effort becomes highly con founded since symptoms of anxiety and depression usually overlap with clinical sym ptomology of kidney disease, specifically the uremic state. For instance, components of depression such as anorexia, fatigue, sexual and sleep disturbances share common chara cteristics with the uremic state.

According to estimates, 20-30% of dialysis patients experience de pression <sup>[17]</sup> and 12-52% of patients with ESRD suffer from anxiety <sup>[18]</sup>, thus making an imperative need, for the evaluation of depression and anxiety in clinical routine.

With this background, the present study attempted to assess the level of anxiety and depression among patients with CKD and Acute kidney injury requiring dialysis.

## Materials and methods

It was a cross-sectional descriptive study that was conducted at a hemo dialysis unit of a government Medi cal college of rural area of Haryana. 41 patients with ESRD on maintenance HD were recruited. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. Inclusion criteria were patients with a diagnosis of CKD/ AKI, 18 years and above, and on HD treatment were included. Patients who had any previous psychological disorder or with an intellectual disability or those who had a sensory deficit that prevent them from having the psychiatric interview were excluded. Ethical permission for the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics committee (IEC) of the hospital. Those patients, who were found to be having symptoms of depression and anxiety, were treated in liaision with

#### Consulation

liaision psychiatry services of PGIMS Rohtak through physical or virtual mode depending on the severity.

The survey questionnaire consisted of 4 parts, a) sociodemographic data, b) clinical and dialysis data, c) Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) to measure anxiety, and d) Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) to detect depressive symptoms.

Mean (SD) was calculated for quantitative data. Des criptive statistics, including percentage and pro portion, were calculated for qualitative data.

Chi-squared test was used for categorical data using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 20). P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Conflict of interest: This study was a part of ICMR Short Term Studentship (STS) Program with research reference ID: 2019-06059.

#### Results

During the study recruitment period, a total of 45 patients were enrolled for the treatment at our dialysis center. Four patients did not meet the eligibility criteria and were excluded. Forty-one patients were judged eligible and agreed to fill out the questionnaire.

Table 1: Socio demo graphic and clinical chara cteristics

## of patients

Variables	N (%)
Gender	
Male	23(56.07)
Female	18.(43.9)
Age means (SD)	47.73( <u>+</u> 14.63)
20-40	12(29.2)
41-60	21(51.2)
61-80	8(19.5)
Employment	
Employed	14(34.1)
Unemployed	27(65.8)
Education	
Illiterate	12(29.2)
literate	29(70.7)
Residence	
Rural	30(75.6)
Urban	11(26.8)
Socio-economic status	
Lower	20(48.8)
Middle	21(51.2)
Marital status	
Married	31(75.6)
Unmarried	6(14.6)
Widowed	4(9.2)
BMI Mean (SD)	19.7( <u>+</u> 2.7)
Underweight	17(41.4)
Normal	23(56.06)
Overweight	1(2.4)
SBP	
<120	9(21.9)
120-139	16(39.02)
>140	16(39.02)
DBP	
<80	18(43.9)
80-89	10(24.3)
>90	13(31.17)

Hb	
<7	5(12.1)
7-9	9(21.9)
9.1-10.5	16(39)
Albumin	
<3.5	29(70.8)
>3.5	12(29.2)
DOD	
<5 years	15(36.5)
>5 years	26(63.4)

BMI-Body Mass Index; SBP-Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP- Diastolic Blood Pressure; Hb- Haemoglobin; DOD- Duration of Dialysis.

As shown in Table I, the mean age was  $47.73\pm14.63$  years. The majority of the patients were males (56.07%), 41-60 years old (51.2%), of normal BMI (56.06%), literate (70.7%), on dialysis for less than 5 years (63.4%), and had serum albumin less than 3.5gm/dl (70.8%).

Table 2: Depression and Anxiety symptoms in the study sample (N=41)

Variable	Frequency (%)
Depression	26 (63.4%)
Mild	12 (46.1%)
Moderate	4 (15.3%)
Severe	10 (38.4%)
Anxiety	15 (36.5%)
Mild	3 (20%)
Moderate	5 (33.4%)
Severe	7 (46.6%)

As shown in Table II, the overall prevalence of depression in the sample was 63.4%, in which 12 patients had mild depression (46.1%), 4 patients had moderate depression (15.3%), and 10 patients suffered from severe depression (38.4%). The overall prevalence of anxiety was 36.5% out of which majority had severe anxiety (46.6%).

Table 3: Socio-demographic and clinical determinants for

depression (N=41)

Characteris	mild	Moderate	Severe	Р
tics		Depressio	Depressio	value
		n	n	
Gender				NS
Male	5(41.7%)	1(25%)	6(60%)	
female	7(58.3%)	3(75%)	4(40%)	
Age(years)				
20-40	8(66.7%)	1(25%)	2(20%)	NS
41-60	4(33.3%)	1(25%)	3(30%)	
61-80	0	2(50%)	5(50%)	
Employme				NS
nt	9(75%)	2(50%)	4(40%)	
employed	3(25%)	2(50%)	6(60%)	
unemploye				
Education				
Illiterate	4(33.3%)	4(100%)	4(40%)	NS
literate	8(66.7%)	(0.0%)	6(60%)	
Residence	10(83.4%)			NS
Rural	2(16.6%)	2(50%)	8(80%)	
Urban		2(50%)	2(20%)	
Socio-				NS
economic	6(50%)	2(50%)	5(50%)	
status	6(50%)	2(50%)	5(50%)	
Lower				
Marital				NS
status	7(58.3%)	3(75%)	8(80%)	
married	3(25%)	1(25%)	0	
unmarried	2(16.7%)	0	2(20%)	
BMI	6(50%)	2(50%)	6(60%)	NS
Underweig	4(33.4%)	2(50%)	4(40%)	
ht normal	2(16.6%)	0	0	
overweight				

SBP				NS
<120	6(50%)	1(25%)	2(20%)	
120-139	5(41.7%)	2(50%)	3(30%)	
<u>&gt;</u> 140	1(8.3%)	1(25%)	5(50%)	
DBP				NS
<80	7(58.3%)	2(50%)	2(20%)	
80-89	4(33.3%)	2(50%)	3(30%)	
<u>&gt;</u> 90	1(8.3%)	0	5(50%)	
Hb				0.035*
<7	3(25%)	0	4(40%)	
7-9	5(41.7%)	2(50%)	1(10%)	
9.1-10.5	4(33.3%)	2(50%)	5(50%)	
Albumin	10(83.3%)			
<3.5	2(16.7%)	3(75%)	7(70%)	NS
<u>&gt;</u> 3.5		1(25%)	3(30%)	
DOD				
<5 years	8(66.7%)	3(75%)	1(10%)	$0.002^{*}$
≥5years	4(33.3%)	1(25%)	9(90%)	*

BMI-Body Mass Index; SBP-Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP- Diastolic Blood Pressure; Hb- Haemoglobin; DOD- Duration of Dialysis, NS-Non-Significant

According to Table III, a significant association (P=0.002) was found between duration of dialysis and hemoglobin level (p=0.035) with depression. No other significant association was found between any other socio-demographic or clinical variable and depression. Out of those suffering from mild depression, majority (66.7%) had duration of dialysis of less tha 5 years. But out of those suffering from major depression 90% had been on dialysis for more than 5 years.

Table-4 Socio-demographic and clinical determinants for anxiety (*N*=41)

Characteristics	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Р-
	anxiety	anxiety	anxiety	value

age

Yogender Kumar Malik, e	t al. International Journal	of Medical Sciences and	Innovative Research (IJMSIR)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

. . . . .

<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u></u>
Gender				NS
Male	2(66.7%)	3(60%)	4(57.1%)	
female	1(33.3%)	2(40%)	3(42.8%)	
Age(years)				
20-40	2(66.7%)	2(40%)	1(14.3%)	NS
41-60	0(0%)	1(20%)	2(28.6%)	
61-80	1(33.3%)	2(40%)	4(57.1%)	
Employment				NS
employed	2(66.7%)	3(100%)	2(28.6%)	
unemployed	1(33.3%)	0(0%)	5(71.4%)	
Education				NS
Illiterate	1(33.3%)	1(20%)	3(42.8%)	
literate	2(66.7%)	4(80%)	4(57.1%)	
Residence				NS
Rural	2(66.7%)	4(80%)	5(71.4%)	
Urban		1(20%)	2(28.6%)	
	1(33.3%)			
Socio-				NS
economic	1(33.3%)	3(60%)	4(57.2%)	
status	2(66.7%)	2(40%)	3(42.8%)	
Lower				
Marital status				NS
married	3(100%)	4(80%)	7(100%)	
unmarried	0	0	0(0%)	
widowed	0	1(20%)	0(0%)	
BMI				NS
Underweight	2(66.7%)	3(60%)	4(23.5)	
normal	0(0%)	2(40%)	3(13.0%)	
overweight	1(33.3%)	0	0	
SBP				NS
<120	2(66.7%)	3(60%)	2(22.2%)	
120-139	0(0%)	1(20%)	1(6.3%)	
<u>&gt;140</u>	1(33.3%)	1(20%)	4(25.0%)	
DBP				NS
<80	1(33.3%)	4(80%)	3(16.7%)	
80-89	1(33.3%)	0	0	
<u>&gt;</u> 90	1(33.4%)	1(20%)	4(30.8%)	

Hb				NS
<7	3(100%)	1(20%)	2	
7-9	0(0%)	1(20%)	3(22.2%)	
9.1-10.5	0(0%)	3(60%)	2(6.25%)	
Albumin				
<3.5	1(33.3%)	3(60%)	5(17.2%)	NS
<u>&gt;</u> 3.5	2(66.7%)	2(40%)	2(16.7%)	
DOD				
<5 years	1(33.3%)	2(40%)	1(3.8%)	$0.004^{**}$
≥5years	2(66.7%)	3(60%)	6(40.0%)	

BMI-Body Mass Index; SBP-Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP- Diastolic Blood Pressure; Hb- Haemoglobin; DOD- Duration of Dialysis.

Table IV shows that duration of dialysis had a significant correlation with anxiety levels (P=0.004). Other sociodemographic and clinical variables were not found to be significant.

## Discussion

This study sought to determine the prevalence and predictors of anxiety and depression among ESRD patients on maintenance HD.

There is a lack of reliable data on direct comparisons between HD patients and the general population in the prevalence of depression and anxiety. However, when compared with the general population, patients with ESRD show more than five times the rate of suffering from depression <sup>[19]</sup>.

The prevalence of depression in our data was as par with other studies, which have reported depression prevalence among ESRD patients ranging from 25.3% to 60.5% <sup>[20]</sup> with different scales used across different populations. This wide range in prevalence is likely attributable to two reasons, as reported by Bornivelli et al <sup>[21]</sup>.

First, depressive symptoms overlap with uremia sympt oms. Se cond, different methods are used in different studies. Furthermore, the financial burden associated with HD therapy in India, loss of the patient's job, and loss of wages and time of the family members due to illness. All of these factors might be contributing to the prevalence of depression in our study population.

The findings of the present study suggest that the dep ressive symptoms and anxiety scores increased with an increase in the duration of the CKD and maintenance HD. Amira et al and Hedayati et al have reported similar findings<sup>[22][23]</sup>.

The possible reasons for this finding could be lifelong dialysis therapy with at least 2-3 dialysis per week and patients taking too much medicine at once. Keskin et al. revealed that depression is a risk factor for suicidal ideation and the chances of suicide attempts increase with the severity of depression. Therefore, HD patients should be under regular psychiatric evaluation and all risk factors should be properly evaluated <sup>[21]</sup>.

In a study, a significant association of depression with unemployment and hypoalbuminemia was found <sup>[24]</sup>. However, in our study, no significant correlation was found.

Patient age showed no significant correlation with anxiety scores. Studies show that the older the patient, the higher the prevalence of somatic symp toms, decree sed quality of life, restrictions in social life, and higher depression rates <sup>[17]</sup>. Corroborating this study, Bayat et al <sup>[25]</sup>. found no correlation between depression and patient age as well as gender.

In our study, patient gender had no significant correlation with the prevalence of anxiety or depression. In contrast to our finding of no significant association among male and female patients, a study conducted at the University of Michigan, female gender was a significant risk factor for depression <sup>[26]</sup>.On the other hand, in line with our finding, no significant differences were observed in the prevalence of depression among male and female patients in a study conducted in Turkey <sup>[27]</sup>.

In our study, all the participants belonged either to the middle (51.2%) or low (48.8%) socio-economic status but no significant association was found with socioeconomic status. An inverse relation was observed between depression and socioeconomic status <sup>[7]</sup>. Similarly in another study depression was reported in study participants with middle and lower socioeconomic status <sup>[28]</sup>.

Of the total 31 married patients, 18(58%) patients had depression. In contradiction to our study findings, authors reported that depression was less common in married people who were undergoing dialysis therapy while widowed/divorced patients were at higher risk of depression <sup>[29]</sup>.

Concerning limitations of the study, it is noted that patients were recruited from a single dialysis center and the sample size was small. Also, the fact that the study was cross-sectional is not allowing the emergence of a causal relationship between levels of anxiety and depression and sociodemographic and clinical variables.

#### Conclusion

Depression and anxiety are highly prevalent among patients undergoing HD, so they should be properly diagnosed and treated, to improve the quality of life of patients with CKD.

Early pharma co logical intervention in the treatment of depression would have a positive effect on the outcome of the disease. Other practical approaches such as stress management training (e.g., mindfulness meditation) and enhancing communication with staff and social support of patients and caregivers may also be important com ponents of mental health services supporting patients with CKD on maintenance HD. Overall, there is a clear

need to provide holistic care for patients with ESRD both

physically and psychologically.

#### References

1. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. JAMA. 2002;298(17):2038-47.

2. Woo KT, Choong HL, Wong KS, Tan HB, Chan CM. The contribution of chronic kidney disease to the global burden of major noncommunicable dis eases. Kidney Int. 2012; 81:1044-5.

3. Professional recommendations and references service. Diagnosis of chronic renal failure in adults: Text of recommendations 2002 Diabetes Me tab. 2003; 29:315-24.

 Das SC, Agarwal SK. Incidence of chronic kidney disease in India. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006; 21:232-3.

 Levy N. B. What is psych on ephrology? J Nephrol. 2008;21(Suppl13): S51-3.

6. Cukor D, Cohen SD Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Psy Cho social aspects of chronic disease: ESRD as a paradigmatic illness. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007; 18 (12): 3042-55.

7. Goh ZS, Griva K. Anxiety and depression in patients with end-stage renal disease: impact and manage ment challenges- a narrative review. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis. 2018; 11:93-102.

8. Nasr M, Hadj Ammar M, Khammouma S, Ben Dhia N, Gha chem A. Haemodialysis and its impact on the quality of life. Nephrol Ther. 2008; 4:21-7.

9. Turkistani I, Nuqali A, Badawi M, et al. The prevalence of anxiety and depression among end-stage renal disease patients on haemodialysis in Saudi Arabia. Ren Fail. 2014; 36:1510-80.

10. Feroze U, Martin D, Reina-Patton A, Kalan tar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD. Mental Health, depression, anxiety in patients on maintenance dialysis. Iran J Kidney Dis 2010; 4:173-80.

11. Stein MB, Cox BJ, Afifi TO, Belik SL, Sreen J. Does co-morbid depressive illness magnify the impact of chronic illness? A population-based per spective. Psychol Med. 2006; 36:587-96.

12. Lowney AC, Myles HT, Bristowe K, et al. Under standing what influences the health-related quality of life of haemo dialysis patients: A colla bor ative study in Eng lad and Ireland. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2015; 50:778-85.

13. Hedayati SS, Bosworth HB, Briley LP, Sloane RJ, Pieper CF, Kimmel PL, Szczech LA. Death or hospitali zation of patients on chronic hemodialysis is associated with a physician-based diagnosis of depression. Kidney Int. 2008;74(7):930-6.

14. Keskin G, Engin E. The evaluation of dep ression, suicidal ideation and coping strategies in hemo dialysis patients with renal failure. J Clin Nurs. 2011; 20 (19-20):2721-32.

15. Veater NL, East L. Exploring depression amongst kidney transplant recipients: a literature review. J Ren Care. 2016; 42 (3): 172-84.

16. Mapes DL, Bragg-Gresham JL, Bommer JJ, et al. Health-related quality of life in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2004; 44(5 Suppl 2): 54-60.

17. Chilcot J, Wellested D, Da Silva-Gane M, Farrington K. Nephron Clin Pract. 2008;108(4);265-64.

18. Murtagh FE, Addington-Hall J, Higginson IJ. The prevalence of symptoms in end-stage renal disease: a systematic review. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis. 2007;14(1): 82-99.

19. Hedayati SS, Jiang W, O'Connor CM, Kuch bhatla
M, Krishnan KR, Cuffe MS, Blazing MA, Szczech LA.
The association between depression and chronic kidney

disease and mortality among patients hospitalized with congestive heart failure. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004 ;44 (2): 207-15.

20. Wang LJ, Chen CK. The psychological impact of hemodialysis on patients with chronic renal failure. In: Polenakovic M, ed. Renal Failure-The Facts. Shanghai. 2012: 217-36.

21. Bornivelli C, Aperis G, Gianni kouris I, Paliouras C, Alivanis P. Relationship between dep ression, clinical and biochemical parameters in patients undergoing hemodialysis. J Ren Care. 2012; 38:93-7.

22. Amira O. Prevalence of symptoms of depression among patients with chronic kidney disease. Niger J Clin Pract. 2011; 14:460-3

23. Hedayati SS, Minhaj Uddin AT, Afshar M, Toto RD, Trivedi MH, Rush AJ. Association between major depressive episodes in patients with chronic kidney disease and initiation of dialysis, hospitali zation, or death. JAMA. 2010;303:1946-53.

24. Araujo SM, de Bruin VM, Daher Ede F, Almeida GH, Medeiros CA, de Bruin PF. Risk factors for depressive symptoms in a large population on chronic hemodialysis. Int Urol Nephrol. 2012;44(4):1229-35.

25. Bayat N, Alishiri GH, Salim Zadeh A, Izadi M, Saleh DK, Lanka Rani MM, Assari S. Symptoms of anxiety and depression: A comparison among patients with different chronic conditions. J Res Med Sci. 2011; 16 (11):1441-7.

26. Nolen-Hoeksema S. Gender differences in depression. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2001;10(5):173-6.

27. House AA, Anand I, Bellomo R, Cruz D, Bobek I, Anker SD et al. Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative Consensus Group. Definition and classification of Cardio-Renal Syndromes: work group statements from the 7th ADQI Consensus Conference. Nephrol Dial Trans plant. 2010;25(5):1416-20. 28. Dohrenwend BP, Levav I, Shrout PE, Schwartz S, Naveh G, Link BG et al. Socioeconomic status and psy chiatric disorders: the causation-selection issue. Science. 1992;255(5047):946-52.

29. Miech RA, Shanahan MJ. Socioeconomic status and depression over the life course. J Health Soc Behav. 2000;41(2):162-76.