

Tapp vs Lichtenstein’s repair in inguinal hernia - A comparative study

¹Jan Mohammad Rather, Shere Kashmir Institute of medical Sciences, J&k, India.

²Javaid Iqbal, Post Graduate Institute PGI, Chandigarh, India

³Syed Safoora Andrabi, Kumudini Womens Medical College, Bangladesh.

⁴Vishal Jaryal, Moga Medicity Hospital, Punjab, India.

Corresponding Author: Jan Mohammad Rather, Shere Kashmir Institute of medical Sciences, J&k, India.

Citation this Article: Jan Mohammad Rather, Javaid Iqbal, Syed Safoora Andrabi, Vishal Jaryal, “Tapp vs Lichtenstein’s repair in inguinal hernia - A comparative study”, IJMSIR- January - 2023, Vol – 8, Issue - 1, P. No. 111 – 115.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Objective: To compare and analyze the outcome of laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair with Lichtenstein repair in Incomplete inguinal hernia.

Study Design: Controlled prospective study from JULY 2020 to APRIL 2021.

Methodology: Incomplete Inguinal hernia patients were admitted electively. They were randomly assigned into groups A and B. The group A patients were treated with laparoscopic trans abdominal pre peritoneal repair (TAPP) and group B patients underwent Lichtenstein’s open repair. Postoperative follow up of early complication of pain, testicular swelling and wound in duration was done. Follow up was at 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month and then 3 months.

Results: A total of 80 patients of incomplete inguinal hernia were included with 40 patients in each group. Group A patients had short hospital stay (discharged within 24 hours 68.08%) as compared to group B (31.91%) $p < 0.001$. From 2nd to 6th postoperative week group A patients had significantly less postoperative pain as compared to group B ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Laparoscopic TAPP repair was safe with early hospital discharge and less postoperative pain and post-operative complications.

Keywords: Inguinal hernia, Laparoscopic repair, Lichtenstein repair, TAPP.

Introduction

Inguinal hernia is one of the most common surgical problems and is a leading cause of work loss and disability¹. Open surgery for inguinal hernia has gone through many stages of development. Understanding of the hernia anatomy was appreciated and understood in the mid 1700’s by means of dissection of cadavers. Two advancements which enabled the development of hernia surgery greatly were the aseptic technique and improvement in anesthesia. Edoardo Bassini was an Italian surgeon who described a durable inguinal hernia repair based on an understanding of inguinal (groin) anatomy. Shouldice developed an atomic based surgical technique which produced a very low recurrence rate. From the 1940s various forms of synthetic polymers were used in inguinal hernia repair. Lichtenstein published the results of 6,321 patients followed for 2-14 years after inguinal hernias repair with polypropylene mesh in 1987. This

approach revolutionized hernia repair^{2,3}. Today tension free mesh repair is regarded as gold standard. This technique is simple, safe and effective, with relapse rate of 0.7%^{4,5}. Laparoscopic approach has markedly improved recovery time that prompted surgeons to attempt laparoscopic approach in hernia repair. Ger was the first surgeon to attempt the laparoscopic hernia repair⁶. The open surgery techniques are gradually being replaced by the trans abdominal preperitoneal repair (TAPP) and total extra peritoneal repair (TEP)⁷. Better postoperative outcomes has been reported with regards to reduced postoperative pain and early return to daily activity⁸. Recurrence rate of 0.4% has been reported with TAPP⁹. The rationale of the study was to compare the laparoscopic repair with Lichtenstein repair in terms of discharge rate and postoperative pain, testicular swelling and wound induration.

Methodology

The study was conducted from July 2020 to April 2021. Study design for this was controlled prospective study. The study was started after approval from "Institute Ethical Committee." The patients presenting with an inguinal hernia to the Department of General Surgery were screened for eligibility. All eligible patients underwent preliminary investigations and pre-anesthetic check-up. It was a new approach for the existing problem in single surgical unit under controlled conditions. Total Sample size was 80 patients.

Inclusion criteria was Age between 20-65 years, Male Gender, Primary reducible incomplete inguinal hernias. Exclusion criteria was patient having recurrent inguinal hernias, bilateral hernias, complete inguinal hernia & patient having morbid obesity, Acute or chronic Liver or kidney diseases, Hepatitis B & C positive patients. The importance of the article were in reference to operative time and financial burden which resists the change in

Government Sector Hospital. They were randomly allocated into two groups A and B by using random numbers table method after matching the confounding variables. After informed consent all patients were operated under general or spinal anesthesia. All patients of group A were done in General anesthesia. For group A laparoscopic repair was performed with trans abdominal. A 12cm x 15cm polypropylene mesh (Prolene-Ethicon) was placed in the preperitoneal pocket and fixed with tackers i. e Protack 5mm fixation device-Covidien. For group B Lichtenstein repair was performed through suprainguinal groin crease incision. The posterior wall was strengthened with the 15cm x 7.5 cm polypropylene mesh (Prolene Ethicon) fixed with polypropylene suture (Prolene Ethicon). Local infiltration of the wound and port was done with bupivacaine 0.25%. Postoperative follow up of early complication of pain, testicular swelling and wound induration was done. Patients were monitored for severity of postoperative pain on 1st hospital stay early postoperative follow up was at 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month and then 3 months. Data analysis was descriptive by SPSS version 23.

Results

A total of 80 patients of incomplete inguinal hernia were included with 40 patients in each group. Group A patients had short hospital stay (discharged within 24 hours-68.08%) as compared to group B (31.91% p<0.001) from 2nd post-operative day as in table-I. Average hospital stay for TAPP was 2 days where as 3 days for Lichtenstein mesh repair. Group A patients had significantly less postoperative pain as compared to group B^{10,11}. Mann Whitney U-test revealed (p<0.05). In our study the average operating time in minutes for TAPP and Lichtenstein was 60 and 50 min respectively with no significant statistical difference. Patients were

assessed for pain in both groups by visual analogue scale at discharge.

There was significant difference of pain on visual analogue scale in both groups ($p < 0.05$) with average pain of 0.9 in TAPP compared to average pain score of 4.0 in Lich ten stein repair as shown in table. The percentage of complication between two group on application of Fischer exact test was significant with $p < 0.05$. Number of patients who developed mild testicular swelling in TAPP were 2 where as in Liech ten stein were 9 showing the patients with TAPP have significant lesser chance of developing swelling as in table. Patients with induration of scar at 1st month was 0 in case of TAPP where as it was 6 in Lich ten stein. 3 Month follow up revealed no induration in lap patient and 4 of Lichtenstein group have induration³. Three of the patients developed mild surgical site infection in Lich ten stein, no patient developed Surgical site infection who underwent TAPP. Seroma was seen only in 5 of open Lich ten stein cases against 2 in TAPP. In our study, no major intrao perative complication occurred in both the groups. There were no cardio - pulmonary, cerebro vascular or thrombotic complications in both the groups However after 12 weeks the difference in pain out comes between the two groups didn't show significant difference.

Table 1: Results at 3 months

	Tapp	Lichtenstein's repair
Operative time	60min	50min
Pain score	0.9	4.0
Hospital stays	2 days	3 days
Testicular swelling	2	9
Seroma	2	5
Wound induration	0	4
Wound infection	0	1

Discussion

Inguinal and femoral hernias are the most common conditions for which primary care physicians refer patients for surgical management. Hernia repair under tension is the prime cause of postoperative pain and recurrence. This led to development of tension-free hernioplasty. Presently tension free hernioplasty with mesh has become a gold standard procedure. Mesh replacement can be done open or by minimal access surgery. Laparos copic repair of inguinal hernias has gained remarkable popularity in recent years^{11,12}. The advantages of laparos copic surgery favor its application to various surgical procedures. Better patient comfort and cosmesis, allowing tension free repair with better revelation to groin anatomy, less posto perative pain, shorter hospital stay and early return to daily activity. These are the advantages of TAPP technique. A Cochrane meta-analysis favored TAPP procedure¹³. In our study group A TAPP patients had significantly less posto perative pain as compared to group B. However, laparoscopic hernioplasty requires lengthy learning curve and it is more costly mainly due to the use of disposables during surgery. Furthermore, with the use of laparoscopic technique the assessment of both groins, particularly incidental defects, is easier and both defects can be repaired at the same operation without the need of further surgical incision, with very little dissection and minimal additional posto perative discomfort. Therefore, bilateral inguinal hernia is an ideal indication for laparos copic repair although in our study being a pilot study and seeing the feasibility of the TAPP in our set we excluded the patients with bilateral hernia. Today, most laparos copic hernioplasties are performed using either trans abdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) or total extra peritoneal (TEP) approach in Manjunath et al, study it was highlighted that lap has intra operative complications

include hemorrhage, technical failure, con-version, injury to vas deferens, injury to vessels, injury to viscus, and major vascular injury. None of their patients in study had any intra operative problem. They found no difference between the two groups in terms intra operative complications¹¹. In our study, no major intra operative complication occurred in both the groups. The postoperative complications of hernia repair include seroma, hematoma, wound infection (superficial and deep), neuralgia, urinary retention and recurrence which occurred as listed in table-II. There were no cardio pulmonary, cerebro vascular or thrombotic complications in both the groups. In current study the postoperative pain outcome at 2, 4 and 12 weeks were noted. These were statistically significant. This significant reduction in the early postoperative pain has helped the patients by requiring less analgesia, early mobilization and quick recovery in TAPP group^{14,15}. Regarding the postoperative hospital stay it is generally accepted that laparoscopic group will be discharged earlier. In our study all patients, in both the groups were admitted after surgery. However, patients who underwent laparoscopic hernioplasty were discharged earlier than the patients who had open mesh hernioplasty. Open Lichtenstein had increased incidence of wound infection 3 in our study group. Average hospital stay for TAPP was 2 days where as 3 days for Lichtenstein mesh repair. Group A patients had significantly less postoperative pain as compared to group B. Our study has few limitations namely small number of patients and short follow up period of 3 months only.

Conclusion

Laparoscopic trans-abdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) is feasible and superior to open mesh repair in terms of lower post op complications in terms of pain, induration, testicular swelling and hospital stay. It can replace the

conventional procedure without having much constrain of operative time and financial burden.

References

1. Qureshi MA, Sajjad JH. Short-term outcome after polypropylene darn and Lichtenstein's hernioplasty: A rando Mize prospective study. *Pak Armed Forces Med J* 2009; 59(4): 11-32.
2. Amid PK. Lichtenstein tension free hernioplasty for the repair of primary and recurrent inguinal hernias. NY has & Condon's *Hernia*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2002; 3(67): 149-57.
3. Wijsmuller AR, Lange JFM, Kleinrensink GJ, van Geldereet D. Nerve-identifying inguinal hernia repair: A surgical anatomical study. *World J Surg* 2007; 31(2): 414-20.
4. Aurangzeb M. Tension-free mesh hernioplasty; a review of 96 cases. *J Postgrad Med Ins* 2004; 18(1): 46-51.
5. Gould J. Laparoscopic verses open inguinal hernia repair. *Surg Clin N Am* 2008; 88(1): 1073-81.
6. Fegade S. Laparoscopic versus open repair of inguinal hernia. *World J Lapar Surg* 2008; 1(1): 41-48.
7. Bhattacharjee PK. Surgical option in inguinal hernia: which is best. *Indian J Surg* 2006; 68(1): 191-00.
8. Douek M, Smith G, Oshowo A, Stoker DL, Wellwood JM. Prospective randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic versus open inguinal hernia mesh repair: five-year follow-up. *Br Med J* 2003; 326(1): 1012-13.
8. Schmedt CG, Daubler P, Leibl BJ, Kraft K, Bittner R. Simul-taneous bilateral laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair, An analysis of 1336 consecutive cases at a single center. *Surg Endosc* 2002; 16(1): 240-44.
9. Fränneby U, Sand blom G, nor din P, NY Reno, Gunnarsson U. Risk factors for long-term pain after hernia surgery. *Ann Surg* 2006; 244(1): 212-19.

10. Reiner, Mark A, and Erin R Bresnahan. Laparoscopic total extra peritoneal hernia repair outcomes. *J Soc Laparo endoscopic Surg* 2016; 20 (3): e20 16.00043.
11. Eklund A, Montgomery A, Bergkvist L, Rudberg C. Chronic pain 5 years after randomized comparison of laparoscopic and Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair. *Br J Surg* 2010; 97(1): 600–608.
12. Bansal VK, Misra MC, Babu D. A prospective, randomized comparison of long-term outcomes: chronic groin pain and quality of life following totally extra peritoneal (TEP) and trans abdominal pre peritoneal (TAPP) laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair. *Surg Endosc* 2013; 27 (1): 2373–82.
13. Abbas AE, Abd Ellatif ME, Noaman N, Negm A, El-Morsy G, Amin M, et al. Patient-perspective quality of life after laparoscopic and open hernia repair: a controlled randomized trial. *Surg Endosc* 2012; 26(9): 2465-70.
14. Aldoescu, S. Predictors for length of hospital stay after inguinal hernia surgery. *J Med Life* 2015; 8 (3): 350-5.
15. Taylor EW1, Duffy K, Lee K, Hill R, Noone A, Macintyre I, King PM, O'Dwyer PJ. Surgical site infection after groin hernia repair. *Br J Surg* 2004; 91 (1): 105-11.