

International Journal of Medical Science and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

IJMSIR : A Medical Publication Hub Available Online at: www.ijmsir.com Volume – 4, Issue – 5, October - 2019, Page No. : 182 - 185

Study of Cardiovascular Autonomic Function in Non Diabetic Patients Treated With Various Modalities

¹Shiv Charan Jelia, Senior Professor, Medicine, Government medical college Kota, Rajasthan, India ²Pankaj Kumar Jain, Assistant Professor, Medicine, Government medical college, Kota, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: Pankaj Kumar Jain, Assistant Professor, Medicine, Government medical college, Kota, Rajasthan, India

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background-Autonomic neuropathy is a frequent complication of chronic renal failure. Similar cardiovascular disease is a leading cause of mortality in hemo dialysis patients.

Methods-It was a hospital based observational study conducted in department of medicine at government medical college, Kota.

Results- maintenance hemo dialysis Fifteen (53.57%) CRF pre dialysis patients, 14(50%) patients complained of symptoms suggestive of autonomic dysfunction.

Conclusion-Autonomic system dysfunction was common finding in patients with uremia and even exists in CRF patients who were on maintenance hemo dialysis.

Keywords: Autonomic nervous system (ANS), chronic renal failure (CRF), electrocardiography (ECG), Expiration-inspiration ratio, Hemo dialysis

Introduction

Chronic renal failure is irreversible deterioration in renal function. Autonomic neuropathy is a frequent complication of chronic renal failure, results in the abnormalities of papillary reflexes, nocturnal diarrhea, Intestinal mal absorption, sweating disturbances, impotence and orthostatic hypotension. The study of autonomic nerve function in case of chronic renal failure is essential for prognosis and treatment of the disease.

Similar cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of mortality in hemo dialysis patients accounting for 44% of the overall mortality. A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain this excess cardiovascular mortality including hypertension, arterial stiffness and cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction.

Material and Methods

Place of study-Inpatient and outpatient department of department of medicine at government medical college Kota and associated group of hospitals.

Study design-It was a hospital based observational study.

Sample size-28 patients in each group i.e. CRF patients on conservative treatment, hemo dialysis patients and control.

Duration of study- One year: between June 2018 to may 2019.

Inclusion criteria-28 patients of non diabetic CRF, equal number of non diabetic CRF patients on maintenance hemo dialysis were taken up for study. Equal number of age and sex matched healthy control subjects were taken up for study.

Exclusion criteria-Following patients were excluded from study

- 1. Diabetic patients
- 2. Reversible renal failure patients
- 3. Cardiac arrhythmia
- 4. Pericardial effusion
- 5. Emphysema
- 6. Cardiac failure
- 7. Prolonged bed ridden patient
- 8. Age more than 60 years
- 9. Alcoholic
- 10. Patients on drugs like beta blockers, anti arrhythmic drugs.

Data collection (Method)

All the patients who fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria attending OPD IPD at and Department of Medicine of Government medical college, kola and associated group of hospitals are examined by investigator of study (Dr. Pankaj Kumar Jain, Assistant Professor in department of medicine and Dr. Shiv Charan Jelia, professor in department of medicine). A pre informed written consent was observed from every case. The patient was evaluated according to pre determined Performa to record the details of history, physical examination and investigations. Assessment of autonomic functions done by 6 tests viz. Heart rate response to valsalva, heart rate response to deep breathing, immediate heart rate response to standing, hand immersion and hand grip test.

Observation

In all three groups i.e. cases of CRF on conservative management, CRF patients on maintenance dialysis and of healthy control subjects there were 22 males and 6 females i.e. in all three groups male constitute 78.57%

of all subjects and female constitute 21.43% of all subjects. This male to female ratio was 3.66:1 in all groups. Age of cases of CRF ranged from 30-60 years, cases of maintenance dialysis group ranged from 20-53 years, while in control group ranged from 20-60 years.

Table 1: Features suggestive of autonomic dysfunction

Group	Symptoms suggestive of autonomic dysfunction						
	Present	%	Absent	%			
Normal control subject (n=28)	1	3.57	27	96.43			
Chronic renal failure patients (n=28)	15	53.57	13	46.43			
Maintenance dialysis group n=28)	14	50.00	14	50.00			
	X2		Р				
Control Vs CRF	17.15		<0.001 HS				
Control Vs MD	8.67		<0.01 S				

Fifteen (53.57%) CRF patients, 1(50%) maintenance hemo dialysis patients, complained of symptoms suggestive of autonomic dysfunction.

Table 2: Comparison of number of abnormal autonomicfunction tests in various groups

Autonomic function test; No of subject with abnormal value of autonomic function test								
Valsalva ratio	Deep breath test	P.T.I.	Decrease in SBP in response to	Increase in SBP in response to hand immersion	Rise in DBP in response to hand grip test			
2	0		standing 0	test 0	0			
15	16	20	8	13	9			
20	17	20	6	15	11			
	autonomic Valsalva ratio 2 15	autonomic function t Valsalva ratio Deep breath test 2 0 15 16 20 1	autonomic function test Valsalva ratio Deep breath test P.T.I. 2 0 0 15 16 20 20 0 0	autonomic function testValsalva ratioDeep breath testP.T.I. in response to standing200150016206	autonomic function test Valsalva Deep breath test P.T.I. Decrease in SBP in SBP in response Increase in SBP in response 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 15 16 20 6 15 20 0 6 15			

This table shows the number of abnormal autonomic function tests in various groups which we included in our study.

Table 3: Comparative study of Autonomic FunctionTest in Control group and CRF Patient group

Pankaj Kumar Jain, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

		Normal CRF subjects					
S.		Subjects	ects (n=28)		t	Р	
No	Parameter	(n=28)					
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
1	Valsalva	1.39	0.0	1.25	0.28	-2.613	0.014 S
	Ratio		07				
2	Deep Breath	1.28	0.0	1.15	0.21	-3.244	0.003 S
	test ratio		03				
3	Postural	1.22	0.0	1.04	0.16	-5.664	< 0.001
	tachycardia		04				HS
	Index Ratio						
4	Decrease in	22.71	4.8	21.07	15.86	-0.544	0.591
	SBP (in mm		7				NS
	Hg) in						
	response to						
	standing						
5	Increase in	22.43	4.4	10.11	8.28	-6.806s	< 0.001
	SBP (in mm		0				HS
	Hg) in						
	response to						
	hand						
	immersion						
	test						
6	Increase in	13.07	1.7	5.43	5.57	-6.323	< 0.001
	DBP in		6				HS
	response to						
	sustained						
	hand grip test						

Table 3 shows that except decrease in systolic blood pressure in response to standing, rest all autonomic function tests shows statistically highly significant difference in control group and CRF group.

Comparative study of parasympathetic function tests in control group and CRF group

Table 4: Comparative study of autonomic function testsin control group and maintenance dialysis group

S.		Normal	subject	Mainten	ance		
No.		(n=28)		dialysis subjects		t	р
	Parameter			(n=28)			
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
1.	Valsalva	1.39	0.007	1.16	0.18	-6.109	< 0.001
	ratio						HS
2.	Deep breath	1.28	0.003	1.11	0.11	-8.232	< 0.001
	test ratio						HS
3.	Postural	1.22	0.004	0.99	0.005	-17.179	< 0.001
	tachycardia						HS
	index ratio						
4.	Decrease in	22.71	4.87	16.71	15.37	-1.981	0.058
	SBP in						NS
	response to						
	standing						

5.	Increase i	n 22.43	4.40	11.50	6.66	-7.075	< 0.001
	SBP i	n					HS
	response t	ю					
	hand						
	immersion						
	test						
6.	Increase i	n 13.07	1.76	3.07	4.20	-10.937	< 0.001
	DBP i	n					HS
	response t	ю					
	sustained						
	hand gri	p					
1	test			1			

Table 4 shows that all parameters related to autonomic function tests shows statistically significant difference in control group and maintenance dialysis subject group except decrease in systolic blood pressure in response to standing

Discussion- In this study symptoms suggested of autonomic dysfunction were present in 15 (53.57%) out of 28 cases of CRF patients and 14 (50%) out of 28 cases of maintenance dialysis patients. When these two groups were compared with healthy control group there is statistically significant difference between the numbers of subjects with symptoms suggested of autonomic dysfunction. Similar observation reported by solders et al $(1986)^1$

In our study in pre dialysis CRF patients deep breath test was abnormal (<1.10) in 16 (57.14%) patients, valsalva ratio was abnormal (<1.2) in 15 (53.57%) patients, and P.T.I. abnormal in 20(71.43%) patients, while 8 patients (28.57%) had a postural fall in BP. More or less similar results observed by anupam agarwal et al (1991)² reported abnormal E/I ratio in 21 pre dialysis patients (84%), abnormal valsalva ratio in 8 (32%) patients and 6 patients (24%) with postural BP fall.

Results of the studies on the effect of chronic hemo dialysis on autonomic function are contradictory, ranging from fair or excellent improvements (compese et al 1981³,Heidbreder et at 1985)⁴ to in different changes(Rockel et at 1979⁶,Malik et al 1986⁵).Similarly Pankaj Kumar Jain, et al. International Journal of Medical Sciences and Innovative Research (IJMSIR)

we also found that except decrease in systolic blood pressure in response to standing rest all parameters statistically highly significant between normal subject VS maintenance dialysis subjects means there is no improvement in autonomic dysfunction after dialysis.

The results of our study show that autonomic dysfunction was common in non diabetic uremic patients (85.71%) and no acute improvement was seen in the autonomic function by hemo dialysis (92.86%).

Conclusions-Autonomic system dysfunction was common finding in patients with uremia and was observed in 85.71% cases of CRF in our study.

Autonomic dysfunction even exists in CRF patients who were on maintenance hemo dialysis and hemo dialysis does not significantly alter the autonomic function.

References

- Solders G, Persson A, Wilczek H. Autonomic system dysfunction and polyneuropathy in non diabetic uremia. One year follow up study after renal transplantation. Transplantation 1986; 4:616-619
- Agarwal A, Anand IS, Sakhuja V, Chugh KS. Effect of dialysis and renal transplantation on autonomic dysfunction in chronic renal failure. Kidney Int 1991; 40:489-495
- Campese VM, Romoff MS, Levitan D et al. Mechanisms of autonomic nervous system dysfunction in uremia. Kidney Int 1981; 20:246-253.
- Heidbreder E, Schafferhans K, Haidland A. Disturbances of peripheral and autonomic nervous system in chronic renal failure. Effects of hemo dialysis and transplantation. Clin Nephrol 1985; 23:222-228.sss

- Malik S, Winney RJ, Ewing DJ.Chronic renal failure and cardiovascular autonomic function. Nephron 1986; 43: 191-195
- Rockel A, Hennemann H, Sternagel-Hasse A, Heidland A. Uremic sympathetic neuropathy after haemo dialysis and transplantation. Eur J Clin Invest 1979; 9: 23-27.